

# PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 40

JULY 31, 1925

No. 31

## STUDIES ON THE INDUSTRIAL DUST PROBLEM

### III. COMPARATIVE FIELD STUDIES OF THE PALMER APPARATUS, THE KONIMETER, AND THE IMPINGER METHODS FOR SAMPLING AERIAL DUST

By LEONARD GREENBURG, Associate Sanitary Engineer, United States Public Health Service, Office of Industrial Hygiene and Sanitation

Many different methods have been devised and used for the sampling and estimation of atmospheric dust. These methods were reviewed in the previous paper of this series (1). In that paper also the advantages and disadvantages of each of the methods were pointed out, as well as the general requirements of the ideal dust sampling instrument for industrial atmospheres. In order to be of most value, the sampling method should yield counts of the number of dust particles and should, in addition, possess high dust catching efficiency.

Numerous attempts have been made to determine the efficiency of dust-sampling instruments. In general this has been done by one of three methods. The earliest of these consists in feeding a known weight of dust to the dust-sampling instrument in the stream of incoming air and then determining the weight of dust retained by the instrument. In the second method the instruments being tested are placed in the given dusty atmosphere which they sample simultaneously. The results obtained by the instruments may then be compared, the instrument giving the greatest yield being considered the most efficient. The last method, based on the Tyndall effect, makes use of an apparatus by means of which the stream of dusty air entering the sampling instrument may be compared optically with the stream of air emerging from the instrument. By means of suitably arranged flow meters and mixing devices it is possible to determine the amount of dust-free air that it is necessary to add to a portion of the entering dusty air in order to make both streams match in intensity when viewed simultaneously in a beam of light.

The first of these three methods yields results which are open to some degree of question. It is obvious that this method gives the instrument a decided advantage over actual field conditions. The second method yields only comparative efficiency ratings, but by its use the most efficient instrument may be selected, and under actual field conditions such as the instrument must meet in continued

use. The last method is the only one by which the actual efficiency may be obtained. It is true, however, that this method imposes a very severe set of conditions on the instruments tested. It is our feeling that this last method yields results of interest and value, but that the second method is of most value in the selection of an instrument for the field sampling of industrial dusts.

#### SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS COMPARATIVE DUST-INSTRUMENT STUDIES

The first comparative study which demands our attention was made by Palmer, Coleman, and Ward (2). These authors made simultaneous samplings with the sugar tube, the Palmer apparatus, and the Graham Rogers plate in the hat-fur, burlap-bag, rag-sorting, and pearl-button industries. They found the Palmer apparatus to be 71 per cent as efficient as the sugar tube in sampling these dusts. In the earlier of their studies these workers made no correction for the dust content of the sugar used in the sugar tube; but later the importance of this source of error, due to its size and variability, became apparent. Applying a correction for this, they found that in two cases their results were still valid, and in both of these the water-spray apparatus secured more dust than the sugar tube. The authors conclude that the water-spray apparatus is as efficient for practical purposes as the sugar tube.

In 1919 Bill published a paper (3) describing his studies on the electrostatic method of dust sampling, and reported the results of comparative studies of this method and the Palmer method. Bill concluded that the Palmer method on the count basis had an efficiency of 60 per cent, and on the weight basis an efficiency of 63 per cent, as compared with the electrostatic method. These tests were conducted in a large series of workrooms and hence may be considered as definitely applicable to the problem at hand.

Katz, Longfellow, and Fieldner (4) published an interesting contribution in which they determined the efficiency of the Palmer apparatus by two different methods. The first method used by these workers was the dust-machine method based on the Tyndall effect. Two test dusts were used—tobacco smoke and finely divided silica dust. The second method was a gravimetric method. In this case the air, after passing through the Palmer bulb, was passed through an electric precipitator having an efficiency of 70 per cent. The weight of the dust in the Palmer bulb was determined by filtering the sampling fluid through filter paper, the weight of the dust which escaped in the filtrate being estimated by turbidity standards. As a result of these tests the investigators found the efficiency of the Palmer method to be less than 13 per cent determined optically, using tobacco smoke as the test dust, and 30 per cent determined in the same manner,

using a suspension of fine silica dust, whereas on a gravimetric basis the efficiency was found to be 45 per cent. Space does not permit too detailed a discussion of the methods used and the results obtained by these workers. Suffice it to say that an optical method in which finely divided silica dust or tobacco smoke is used is a very severe test for any dust-sampling instrument, and must be interpreted with great caution, for such finely divided dusts as these are not usually encountered in industry at large. Concerning the gravimetric method used by Katz and his colleagues, it is to be pointed out that the duration of these tests was only one minute; that an electric precipitator of low efficiency was used which necessitated dubious corrections, and moreover, only a portion of the dusty air was passed through the precipitator, and lastly, that dust even if of a size up to 2 microns was estimated by a turbidity method.

In 1921 Katz and Trostel (5) made comparative tests on the efficiency of the Hill dust counter, the konimeter, and the sugar tube in the granite-cutting industry. They found the sugar tube to yield 83 times the amount of dust obtained by using the Hill dust counter and 6.7 the amount indicated by the use of the konimeter. The Hill dust counter and the konimeter are the same in principle, and there is no doubt that the efficiency of the konimeter is considerably higher than that of the Hill apparatus. Moreover, the konimeter is smaller, and takes 29 samples on one slide, as contrasted with one sample in the case of the Hill counter. The konimeter is obviously the instrument of choice here, and we may therefore confine our statements to that instrument.

That the air of granite-cutting plants is highly charged with dust there is no doubt, for from the figures quoted in the paper above referred to (5) it is seen that there are, roughly speaking, more than 50,000,000 particles of dust per cubic foot of air, or, roughly, 2,000 particles per cubic centimeter. We have found in using the konimeter that when the air which is sampled contains too great a quantity of dust the particles begin to pile up on each other so that they can not be counted with any degree of accuracy; in fact, in many cases the number of particles can not be estimated. It is apparent, then, that the numbers reported are so far below the actual quantity of dust in the konimeter sample that these so-called counts must be interpreted with great caution. It is fair to say that no ratio of sugar tube to konimeter counts should ever have been put forward on a basis of this study without some reservation. This does, however, demonstrate very clearly one of the deficiencies of the konimeter method which will be discussed in the later pages of this paper.

Smyth and Iszard (6) agree that the optical method, although the best absolute test of complete removal of particulate matter, is too

severe a test of the practical hygienic efficiency of a dust-sampling apparatus; and they further point out that both Bill and Katz sampled air at 4 cubic feet per minute, whereas the Palmer method is most efficient when operating at 5 cubic feet per minute. They estimate that at this rate of operation the Palmer apparatus should have an efficiency of almost 82 per cent by weight, as compared with the Bill electrostatic apparatus (at 100 per cent).

The most intensive study of the efficiency of the sugar tube, though not a comparative study, was made by Fieldner, Katz, and Longfellow (7), who tested the sugar tube by means of the dust machine and Tyndall effect described earlier, using tobacco smoke and silica dust as the test medium. When tested in this manner against tobacco smoke, they found the efficiency to be 34 per cent. When silica dust was used, the efficiency was found to be about 75 per cent. And lastly, when silica dust was used and the determination made gravimetrically by weighing the dust caught by the sugar tube and the dust escaping in the outgoing air, the efficiency was found to be 87 per cent.

Winslow and Jordan (8) tested the comparative efficiency of the konimeter and Palmer method in certain industrial establishments. The tests were made in atmospheres ranging from those containing very small amounts of dust to some in which the dust content was exceedingly high (sand-blasting). They found that the konimeter gave counts from 2 to 20 times those obtained with the Palmer method.

Recently the writer and a number of other workers reported a study (9) which had for its object the selection of an instrument to be used in studies on the quantitative aspects of industrial dusts. In this study comparative laboratory tests were made, using six instruments, namely, the sugar tube, Palmer apparatus, konimeter, impinger, the filter-paper thimble, and the dust determinator. The first four of these instruments yield samples of which counts of the number of dust particles may be made. The filter-paper thimble was tested because it was in use by one governmental department for the sampling of grain-elevator dusts, and the dust determinator was included in our tests in order to see whether the principle involved might be developed into a valuable instrument for dust determinations. It is not necessary to describe in detail the results obtained in this study. Briefly, our results indicated that by means of the dust determinator and the thimble it is impossible to obtain counts of the number of particles of dust present in a sample of the given atmosphere, and that the remaining four instruments in order of efficiency ranked as follows, the impinger and konimeter sharing honors for first place, the sugar-tube method next, and the Palmer method last.

These laboratory studies were made using various kinds of dust suspended in the atmosphere of an air-tight chamber, sampling with all of the instruments being done simultaneously, with the instruments grouped as closely as possible. We also attempted to simulate industrial conditions by using two concentrations of dust, a heavier and a lighter concentration. In spite of these efforts we realize that these tests are not really tests of the instruments under the industrial conditions which they must finally and in constant practice meet, and for this reason the studies presented here were undertaken.

#### INSTRUMENT INVESTIGATED IN THE PRESENT STUDY

As noted earlier, the four methods which yielded the best results in our laboratory studies were the impinger, the konimeter, the sugar tube, and the Palmer method.

The sugar-tube method possesses a relatively high efficiency as a dust-sampling instrument, but the method has one noteworthy deficiency, namely, all sugar contains certain quantities of impurities. In a study of the sugar-tube method previously referred to (7) the authors present a table (p. 26, Table 8) in which it is shown that the weight of the impurities in 100 grams of different lots of granulated sugar varies from 0.8 grams to 3.2 grams, and in our laboratory studies (9), using this method, we found the impurities in 100 grams of sugar to vary from 2.1 to 6.8 grams and to average 3.86 grams. Moreover, the dust count of the sugar was found to be highly variable. For instance, never did more than two control tubes contain the same weight of residual dust. This large and variable residual quantity of dust in sugar seriously militates against the use of the sugar-tube method of sampling dust in ordinary industrial establishments, such as grinding and polishing shops, as will be seen from a consideration of the following analysis. Assuming, for instance, that 15 cubic feet of air are sampled by means of the sugar tube and that the dust in the sugar itself averages 3.86 milligrams, we have then 0.257 milligram of dust per cubic foot of air sampled. In an earlier paper, Winslow and Greenburg (10) presented a summary of dust determination by various observers, and it will be found by reference to this paper (Table 10) that 0.257 milligram of dust per cubic foot of air is a greater quantity of dust than has been found by Miller and Smyth in a cigar shop (0.084), or by Palmer, Coleman, and Ward in a good pearl-button factory (0.0001), in a fur-hat factory (0.1025), in a marble-cutting establishment (0.0607), in an iron-grinding shop (0.1297), or by Winslow, Greenburg, and Angermyer in a good light-polishing shop (0.0181), or in a good heavy-polishing shop (0.030).

From the point of view of dust counts the sugar-tube control shows up in a similar manner. For instance, in column 3 of Table 4 of the

paper previously referred to (9), it will be observed that the average number of dust particles counted without dilution is 102,000,000 in 100 grams of sugar. Assuming that 15 cubic feet of air are sampled, a very simple calculation indicates a control error corresponding to 240 particles per cubic centimeter of air. Reference to our industrial dust counts in a later portion of this paper (Table 1) shows that 240 particles is a number larger than was obtained uniformly in any shop except the sand-blast shop. Obviously it is fallacious to use a method which has a collecting medium possessing a greater quantity of dust than is likely to be obtained in sampling a representative quantity of air, and for this reason we have refrained from using the sugar-tube method in the field studies here reported. The present study is limited to a comparison of results obtained by using the Palmer method, the konimeter, and the impinger simultaneously for the sampling of industrial dusts.

#### DESCRIPTION OF INSTRUMENTS AND TECHNIQUE OF SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

The Palmer apparatus consists of a small, electrically driven blower, the suction side of which is connected to the outlet of a pear-shaped glass bulb approximately 16 inches in length. At its lower end the glass bulb terminates in a U-shaped trap. This trap, in turn, is provided at its low point with a short glass drain tube closed by means of a piece of rubber tubing and a screw clamp. An air-flow meter of the pitot type is provided in the air circuit between the glass collecting bulb and the suction fan.

The complete equipment for the sampling of dust in air by this method consists of the Palmer apparatus and a sufficient number of small Erlenmeyer sample flasks (150 c. c. capacity) carefully graduated at 100 c. c. At the laboratory the flasks were cleaned with cleaning solution, washed with water, rinsed with distilled water, and then filled with distilled water of as low dust content as was possible to secure. The flasks were then stoppered with carefully washed rubber stoppers and capped with a piece of paper held in place by an elastic band.

The method of using the instrument in the field is comparatively simple. The apparatus was set up at the point at which samples were to be taken, the screw clamp on the outlet tube closed, and approximately 40 c. c. of distilled water added to the U-trap. The motor was then connected to the source of electric current and the power turned on. The rate of air sampling determined by observation of the pitot manometer was in all but one or two cases maintained at 4 cubic feet per minute. The air is drawn through the water in the trap, breaking the water into a spray in the larger portion of the sampling bulb. After a representative sample had been obtained,

usually 60 cubic feet, but in some cases (very dusty atmospheres) a smaller quantity; and in others (outdoor country air) a larger quantity, usually 120 cubic feet, the power was shut off and the water was drained from the Palmer bulb to one of the Erlenmeyer sample flasks. The bulb was then rinsed with portions of water which were added to the original sample. Suitable controls were made of the distilled water used in sampling. These controls received the same laboratory treatment as the dust samples.

When the sample arrived at the laboratory it was thoroughly agitated and two 1 cubic centimeter portions were removed to Sedgwick-Rafter counting cells. These cells were scrupulously cleaned and in most cases were examined empty under the microscope to detect the presence of any adventitious dust. After allowing the cell contents to settle five counts were made on each cell, one at each corner and one in the center. The lens combination used in the microscope was No. 3 objective, a No. 3 eyepiece with an inserted eyepiece micrometer, and a microscope tube length of 166 millimeters. With this magnification, which is approximately 84 diameters, the length of the side of the smallest square ruled on the eyepiece micrometer was found to be 0.02 millimeter. In making the dust counts the particles were divided into two groups, those under and those over  $10 \mu$  in longest dimension. In order to convert the individual counts of particles into numbers per cubic centimeter, the proper conversion factor was applied. In all cases a correction was applied for the control analysis. In the microscopic examination this consisted in deducting from the results of the sample analysis the number of particles in each group as found in the control.

The foregoing is essentially the analytical procedure recommended for ordinary routine use in the final report of the Committee on Standard Methods for the Examination of Air, of the Laboratory Section of the American Public Health Association (11).

The Kotze konimeter is a small instrument capable of sampling the dust in 9 or 10 cubic centimeters of air. Essentially it consists of a circular brass chamber into which fits a glass plate cemented into a toothed frame of brass. Firmly attached to the brass chamber is a cylinder in which moves a spring-actuated piston, so arranged that on release of the piston spring, air is drawn out of the chamber. The only means of ingress of air to the chamber is through the impinging orifice, and the air enters here, striking the previously vaselined glass plate and leaving its dust thereon. After taking one spot the glass plate is revolved by means of the pinion gear and the instrument is ready for the next sample.

Before using the konimeter it is necessary to test out certain characteristics of the instrument, such as the velocity or impingement, the uniformity of piston travel, and the volume of air sampled.

This was done by the same methods used and reported by Winslow and Jordan (8) and need not be described in detail here.

The analysis of konimeter samples is exceedingly simple. The circular glass plate with the dust spots on it is removed from the konimeter and fitted into a special holder on the stage of the microscope. The holder is provided with a toothed pinion and is so arranged that after spot No. 1 is in focus it is only necessary to turn the pinion to bring the second and all subsequent spots into focus.

The microscope used in connection with the konimeter in our laboratory studies was provided with a 4x eyepiece and a 3 mm. objective, calibrated so that all determinations were made at a magnification of 100 diameters. An eyepiece micrometer, so ruled that two lines intersecting at the center of the field form sectors of  $9^\circ$ , was used for counting. On each side of these sectors the micrometer is crossed by two pairs of parallel lines separated by a distance equivalent to 5 and 10 microns, respectively, when used in the No. 4 eyepiece. The distance between these lines was used to estimate the size of the dust particles. In use this eyepiece micrometer was absolutely clean and free from dust. In this manner four, and, in some cases, five,  $9^\circ$  sectors were counted. The count thus obtained, multiplied by a proper constant and divided by the volume of air sampled, gives the number of particles per cubic centimeter of air sampled.

Mention was made earlier of the impinger dust-sampling apparatus devised by the writer and G. W. Smith (12). The essential portion of this apparatus consists of a glass flask provided with a two-hole rubber stopper. In one hole is fitted the impinging tube, the second hole serving to provide for the exhaust tube. The impinging tube is a glass tube about 20 cm. in length and 13 mm. in diameter and drawn down to an opening of 2.3 mm. Fastened to the impinging tube by means of a brass clamp is the impinger plate, a circular plate 25 cm. in diameter. This impinger plate is fastened and maintained 5 mm. below the end of the impinger tube. Three hundred cubic centimeters of carefully distilled water are placed in the flask, the water level being approximately 3 to 4 cm. above the bottom of the impinger tube. In this way the impinger plate and the orifice of the impinger tube are kept immersed in water. The remainder of the apparatus consists of a motor and positive pressure blower, the suction end of which is attached to the impinger exhaust tube. A flow meter is placed in the air circuit between the impinger flask and the suction apparatus.

The complete field equipment for sampling with the impinger consists of a number of 500 c. c., flat-bottom assay flasks carefully cleaned, graduated at 300 c. c., and filled to that point with dust-free distilled water. The flasks are closed by means of washed rubber stoppers capped with paper.

In the field the apparatus is placed in position and the stopper removed from one of the flasks. The two-hole stopper with its impinger tube is inserted in place and a connection made by means of a piece of rubber tubing to the suction apparatus. The electrical connections are then completed and sampling starts. The analytical procedure used by us for impinger samples is precisely the same as that for samples obtained with the Palmer apparatus, described previously.

Preparatory to sampling the atmosphere the Palmer apparatus and the impinger were set up as closely as possible together at the desired sampling place. In no case were the instruments separated by more than 6 inches. When all was ready sampling was started, and then the konimeter, held close to the other instruments, was released.

#### ATMOSPHERES STUDIED

The studies reported here were conducted in various atmospheres, ranging from outdoor country air during a rainstorm to the exceedingly dusty air of a sand-blasting cabinet. A large number of samples were obtained in a factory devoted to the manufacture of silverware.

Air samples covering periods of varying duration were taken. In the sand-blasting chamber the dust was so heavy that only 2-minute samples were taken, whereas in the outdoor country atmosphere we took 30-minute samples. Three konimeter samples were taken for each 15-minute Palmer sample and five for each 30-minute Palmer sample. In sampling outdoor atmospheres we used two "pops" of the konimeter for each sample, thus sampling 18-20 cubic centimeters of air, while in the sand-blast chamber we utilized stops in the konimeter in order to sample a smaller volume of air and in this manner obtain a less dense spot of dust.

#### RESULTS OF THE STUDY

In Table 1 we have tabulated the results of our field studies in order of the dustiness of the atmospheres sampled, ranging from outdoor country air to the air of a sand-blast cabinet. Under each instrument we have recorded the counts of particles over 10 microns in size as +10 microns, and those less than 10 microns in size as -10 microns. On the basis of the particles less than 10 microns we have computed the ratio of konimeter to Palmer counts, konimeter to impinger counts, and impinger to Palmer counts. These ratios appear in the last three columns of the table.

Based on dust content the atmospheres studied by us may be considered as of three general classes; namely, those atmospheres ranging from the lowest dust content (outdoor country air during

a rainstorm) to and including ordinary dwelling rooms and work-rooms not in use, atmosphere usually associated with the usual type of workroom, including polishing, grinding, and buffing shops, and, lastly, atmosphere of the highest dust content—that found in the sand-blasting shops. In all we have taken 100 samples, 18 in the first, 78 in the second, and 4 in the third type of atmosphere.

TABLE 1.—Summary of results of field studies on comparative tests of dust-sampling instruments

Sample No.	Sampling place	Particles per cubic centimeter						Ratio		
		Konimeter		Palmer		Impinger		K P	K I	I P
		+10	-10	+10	-10	+10	-10			
37	Outdoor air during rain-storm.	0.1	3.0	0.1	0.8	0.1	12.6	3.7	0.24	15.4
38		.1	1.6	0	.1	0	10.3	16.0	.16	103.0
39		.3	1.8	0	.6	.1	14.4	3.0	.13	23.1
40		.2	.6	0	.6	.7	11.6	1.0	.01	100.0
51	Unused laboratory-----	.1	5.6	.1	1.3	0	14.8	4.3	.38	11.3
52		.3	3.8	.1	1.2	1.1	8.5	3.2	.45	7.1
53		.3	8.0	.1	1.4	1.5	10.8	5.7	.74	7.7
54		.1	5.0	.1	1.7	0	9.3	2.9	.54	5.4
45	City house-----	.6	25.8	.4	4.1	1.5	14.6	6.3	1.80	3.5
46		.4	13.3	.2	2.6	.6	14.4	5.1	.93	3.5
47		.3	1.9	0	3.5	.2	12.1	.5	.16	3.1
48		.4	4.1	0	2.5	.8	22.4	1.6	.18	8.9
49		.5	17.9	.2	3.1	0	16.1	5.8	1.11	5.2
50		.3	11.1	0	2.6	.2	21.8	4.3	.51	8.4
35	Unused machine shop-----	.5	8.1	0	.8	1.4	23.0	10.1	.35	28.9
36		.2	14.4	.1	.6	0	33.4	24.0	.43	55.8
29	Ordinary workroom—No dusty processes in use.	.4	12.6	.1	1.8	.8	7.7	7.0	1.6	4.4
30		.2	11.1	.2	1.4	.6	7.9	7.9	1.4	5.6
31	Woodworking shop-----	2.3	30.3	3.3	12.8	10.4	37.6	2.4	.81	3.0
32		2.3	50.4	4.3	18.2	6.1	49.0	2.8	1.03	2.7
33		2.5	67.7	4.5	17.6	8.5	48.5	3.8	1.40	2.7
34		4.7	107.3	8.6	21.2	12.7	81.4	5.0	1.32	3.8
1	Grinding shop-----	1.1	149.6	.2	10.0	.5	106.2	15.0	1.40	10.7
2		.7	118.8	.1	13.8	.9	69.5	8.6	1.71	5.0
3		1.2	107.3	.2	19.0	0	114.0	5.7	.94	6.1
4		.7	91.0	.4	12.9	.5	92.6	7.1	.98	7.2
9	do-----	1.7	195.4	.3	36.2	.5	105.0	5.4	1.86	2.9
10		1.2	185.0	.5	24.7	.9	127.0	7.5	1.45	5.2
11		1.1	160.8	0	13.6	.9	41.9	11.8	3.84	3.1
12		1.1	318.0	.3	29.1	2.8	85.5	10.9	3.72	2.9
13		2.1	292.0	.8	29.4	.9	99.3	9.9	2.95	3.4
14		2.0	298.5	.8	21.0	0	123.0	14.2	2.42	5.9
15	Grinding shop—Knife handles.	.9	182.8	1.8	41.1	.9	72.0	4.4	2.54	1.7
16		.9	89.6	.4	47.9	2.4	149.3	1.9	.60	3.2
17		1.1	193.0	3.5	45.8	3.8	109.5	4.2	1.76	2.4
18		.4	94.4	.8	14.8	.9	79.0	6.4	1.19	5.4
19		2.8	310.0	5.7	136.4	4.2	218.0	2.3	1.42	1.6
20		2.8	320.5	4.8	103.0	4.7	284.0	3.1	1.21	2.6
21	Grinding shop-----	.8	103.1	.6	6.2	2.4	104.0	16.6	.95	17.5
22		.5	37.8	.6	8.8	1.4	55.5	4.3	.68	6.3
23		.8	250.0	1.4	73.6	0	157.9	3.4	1.59	2.1
24		.9	480.0	3.6	209.0	.9	121.0	2.3	3.98	.58
25		.4	104.8	.8	57.3	.5	60.6	1.8	1.73	1.8
26		.5	114.0	.9	19.0	1.4	35.0	6.0	2.45	2.4
27		.5	180.5	14.0	244.0	17.0	765.0	.7	.24	2.9
28		2.9	421.0	12.7	521.0	24.0	914.0	.8	.46	1.7

TABLE 1.—Summary of results of field studies on comparative tests of dust-sampling instruments—Continued

Sample No.	Sampling place	Particles per cubic centimeter						Ratio			
		Konimeter		Palmer		Impinger		$\frac{K}{P}$	$\frac{K}{I}$	$\frac{I}{P}$	
		+10	-10	+10	-10	+10	-10				
55	Hollow silverware sand-buffing.....	0.3	158.0	0.1	32.6	0.5	112.6	4.8	1.4	3.5	
56		.6	59.0	.2	38.4	1.4	122.5	1.6	.5	3.4	
57		1.0	268.0	.2	22.5	0	148.8	11.7	1.8	6.5	
58		1.0	394.7	.1	30.3	.5	248.0	13.0	1.6	8.0	
59		0	407.3	.3	42.1	.9	122.9	9.7	3.3	2.9	
60		0	212.0	.6	42.2	0	110.7	5.0	1.9	2.6	
61		3.0	241.7			0	97.5		2.5		
62		1.0	158.7	.6	44.9	1.4	77.7	2.9	1.6	1.7	
63		.7	119.0	.3	51.9	0	107.9	2.3	1.1	2.1	
64		1.0	120.3	.6	40.6	0	101.3	3.0	1.2	2.5	
65		1.0	36.0	.2	19.8	.5	123.9	1.9	.3	6.2	
66		.7	127.3	.3	13.3	1.9	116.8	9.4	1.1	8.7	
67		3.3	129.0	.2	16.1	.9	114.5	8.2	1.1	7.1	
68		1.7	62.3	.5	9.2	1.9	63.1	6.6	1.0	6.7	
69		1.0	140.0	.4	6.7	2.8	103.1	19.9	1.3	14.9	
70		3.7	166.7	.1	8.5	2.8	62.2	19.8	2.6	7.6	
71		2.0	249.3	.1	38.7	1.4	157.2	6.4	1.6	4.1	
72		2.3	186.3	.5	32.8	2.1	99.4	5.7	1.9	3.0	
73		1.0	158.0	.2	20.7	.9	123.8	7.6	1.3	6.0	
74		1.0	172.0	.2	19.3	2.4	140.0	8.9	1.2	7.3	
75		1.3	183.7	.5	23.0	3.2	144.0	7.9	1.3	6.3	
76		1.0	115.3	.3	16.5	2.4	117.1	6.9	1.0	7.1	
77		Hollow silverware machine buffing.....	1.0	100.0	.2	14.4	1.4	85.1	6.9	1.2	5.9
78			1.7	63.7	.1	10.8	.4	61.5	6.0	1.1	5.7
79			1.3	56.3	0	8.4	0	58.0	6.9	1.0	6.9
80			.7	44.3	0	8.2	.5	19.3	5.5	2.3	2.4
81	4.0		82.7	.4	6.5	.5	66.5	12.5	1.3	9.7	
82	8.0		137.0			1.9	159.7		.9		
83	.7		68.7	.3	17.5	2.4	91.0	3.9	.7	5.3	
84	0		37.0	.3	12.8	.9	51.4	2.8	.7	4.0	
85	0		52.7	.2	13.2	.5	77.2	3.9	.7	5.7	
86	.3		116.7	.4	24.2	.5	68.4	4.8	1.7	2.8	
87	.3		148.3	.2	10.0	.9	114.0	14.5	1.3	11.2	
88	0		122.0	.1	13.1	.5	48.0	9.2	2.5	3.7	
89	Tripoli buffing.....	1.0	120.3	.4	13.5	.5	58.5	8.8	2.1	4.2	
90		1.0	65.7	.3	10.6	.9	42.8	6.1	1.5	4.0	
91		1.3	89.7	.3	10.8	1.4	73.7	8.1	1.1	7.2	
92		1.7	111.7	.9	28.5	0	46.2	3.8	2.4	1.6	
93		1.0	124.3	.4	17.6	1.4	41.5	7.0	2.9	2.4	
94		1.7	172.0	.4	9.6	0	91.0	17.2	1.9	9.1	
95		2.0	204.3	.4	9.4	1.4	106.2	21.6	2.0	11.0	
96		.7	96.7	.1	8.8	.9	59.8	10.9	1.6	6.8	
97		.3	73.3	.2	6.5	0	46.6	11.0	1.6	7.0	
98		2.3	85.0	.4	5.2	.5	41.5	15.5	2.1	7.7	
99	0	111.3	.3	5.9	.7	41.3	18.0	2.7	6.9		
100	3.0	135.3	.1	5.9	1.0	52.2	23.1	2.6	8.9		
101	White-metal dust.....	.7	113.0	.7	27.8	1.9	88.5	4.0	1.3	3.2	
102		.7	59.7	0	12.7	0	73.5	4.8	.8	5.8	
103	Wood dust.....	2.7	68.0	2.8	44.0	1.9	68.3	1.5	1.0	1.5	
104		2.0	155.7	3.1	38.9	6.6	106.8	3.8	1.4	2.7	
41	Sand-blasting cabinet.....	50.5	838.0	303.0	7,790.0	1,175.0	41,200.0	.11	.02	5.3	
42		61.4	674.0	303.0	10,520.0	882.0	41,800.0	.06	.02	4.0	
43		102.0	1,330.0	942.0	16,720.0	3,180.0	253,000.0	.08	.01	15.4	
44		79.2	1,520.0	1,360.0	43,200.0	4,240.0	204,000.0	.04		4.7	

In Table 2 we have summarized the ratio figures presented in the last three columns of Table 1. Here are tabulated the minimum, maximum, and average ratio for each of the three types of atmospheres. From this table it is at once apparent that in atmospheres of low dust content the impinger yields the highest counts, averaging over 22 times as high as the Palmer and over 1.6 times that found by

the konimeter ( $K/I=0.62$ ). In the second type of atmosphere, that found in the usual run of workshops where dusty processes are in use, the konimeter yields the highest counts, over 7.5 times that of the Palmer and somewhat over 1.5 times that obtained by the impinger. In the last type of atmosphere, that very highly charged with dust, the impinger ranks first, yielding counts 125 times the count obtained by the konimeter and 7.35 times that obtained with the Palmer method. It is of interest to note that the Palmer method yields higher counts than the konimeter in this highly charged atmosphere, the  $P/K$  ratio being 13.7; but in atmospheres of moderate dustiness the results reported here confirm the findings of Winslow and Jordan (8).

TABLE 2.—Ratio of dust counts obtained with the Palmer, konimeter, and impinger apparatus

Atmosphere	Ratio			
		K/P	K/I	I/P
(1) Low dust content, outdoor and normal room air.....	Minimum.....	0.5	0.01	3.10
	Maximum.....	24.0	1.80	103.0
	Average.....	6.24	.62	22.36
(2) Dusty workshops, grinding, polishing, etc.....	Minimum.....	.07	.24	.58
	Maximum.....	23.10	3.98	17.50
	Average.....	7.55	1.58	5.10
(3) Very dusty atmospheres, sand-blasting shop.....	Minimum.....	.06	.01	4.0
	Maximum.....	.11	.02	15.4
	Average.....	.073	.008	7.35

#### GENERAL CONCLUSIONS REGARDING DUST-SAMPLING INSTRUMENTS FOR USE IN INDUSTRIAL ATMOSPHERES

From our deliberations concerning each of these instruments and the studies here reported we are led to conclude that the sugar tube possesses little value for use in ordinary industrial environments because of its large and variable control errors. The Palmer apparatus is satisfactory on this score, but its efficiency is low and for that reason should be replaced by an instrument of higher efficiency. The two instruments possessing neither of these drawbacks are the konimeter and the impinger. The konimeter is small, portable, and very convenient for use. With it 29 samples may be taken on one slide, and, once taken, may be very rapidly analyzed. It is highly efficient for sampling dust in ordinary work places where the atmosphere is not too highly polluted. The objections to its use are that it takes an instantaneous sample of only 9 or 10 cubic centimeters of air, that a weight analysis is not available, and that it yields low results when used in very dusty atmospheres. The author recommends that a konimeter be used for studies of atmospheres of *low or medium dust content* where the desideratum is quickly to establish the conditions of the atmosphere. For plant engineers it is to be

highly recommended. The impinger is highly efficient, samples a large volume of air, and has low control errors, and by using it both a weight and count of the dust may be secured. It is recommended for use in all intensive dust studies, no matter how high or low the dust concentration may be.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- (1) Greenburg, L.: Studies on the Industrial Dust Problem. I. A Review of the Methods used for Sampling Aerial Dust. Pub. Health Rep., Vol. 40, No. 16, Apr. 17, 1925, pp. 765-786.
- (2) Palmer, G. T., Coleman, L. V., and Ward, H. C.: A Study of Methods for Determining Air Dustiness. Am. Jour. Public Health, Vol. 6, No. 10, October, 1916, pp. 1049-1075.
- (3) Bill, J. P.: The Electrostatic Method of Dust Collection as Applied to the Sanitary Analysis of Air. Jour. of Indl. Hyg., Vol. 1, No. 7, November, 1919, pp. 323-342.
- (4) Katz, S. H., Longfellow, E. S., and Fieldner, A. C.: Efficiency of the Palmer Apparatus for Determining Dust in Air. Jour. of Indl. Hyg., Vol. 2, No. 5, September, 1920, pp. 167-177.
- (5) Katz, S. H., and Trostel, L. J.: Comparative Tests of Air Dustiness with the Dust Counter, Konimeter, and Sugar Tube. Jour. of the Am. Soc. of Heating and Ventilating Engineers, Vol. 27, July, 1921, pp. 519-528.
- (6) Smyth, H. F., and Iszard, M.: The Practical Hygienic Efficiency of the Palmer Apparatus for Determining Dust in Air. Jour. of Indl. Hyg., Vol. 3, No. 5, September, 1921, pp. 159-167.
- (7) Fieldner, A. C., Katz, S. H., and Longfellow, E. S.: The Sugar Tube Method of Determining Rock Dust in Air. U. S. Bureau of Mines Technical Paper 278. Washington, D. C., 1921.
- (8) Winslow, C.-E. A., and Jordan, R.: The Comparative Efficiency of the Circular Konimeter and the Palmer Water Spray Apparatus for the Determination of the Dust Content of the Air. Jour. of Indl. Hyg., Vol. 4, No. 9, January, 1923, pp. 375-379.
- (9) Katz, S. H., Smith, G. W., Myers, W. M., Trostel, L. J., Ingels, M., and Greenburg, L.: Comparative Tests of Instruments for Determining Atmospheric Dusts. Public Health Bulletin 144, p. 69. U. S. Public Health Service, Washington, D. C.
- (10) Winslow, C.-E. A., and Greenburg, L.: Industrial Tuberculosis and the Control of the Factory Dust Problem. Jour. Indl. Hyg., Vol. 2, No. 9, pp. 333-343, and Vol. 2, No. 10, pp. 378-395.
- (11) Final Report of the Committee on Standard Methods for the Examination of Air. Am. Jour. of Pub. Health, Vol. 7, No. 1, January, 1917, pp. 54-72.
- (12) Greenburg, L., and Smith, G. W.: A New Instrument for Sampling Aerial Dust. Reports of Investigations, U. S. Bureau of Mines Serial No. 2392, September, 1922.

#### ABSTRACTS OF CURRENT PUBLIC HEALTH COURT DECISIONS

*Dispensing by physician of small quantity of habit-forming drugs to addict for self-administration held not violative of Harrison Antinarcotic Act.*—(United States Supreme Court.) The defendant in the district court was convicted of a violation of the Harrison Antinarcotic

Act. This conviction was affirmed by the circuit court of appeals. The Supreme Court, in its opinion, stated that the indictment, in effect, alleged—

that the accused, a duly registered physician, violated the statute by giving to a known addict four tablets containing morphine and cocaine with the expectation that she would administer them to herself in divided doses, while unrestrained and beyond his presence or control, for the sole purpose of relieving conditions incident to addiction and keeping herself comfortable. It does not question the doctor's good faith nor the wisdom or propriety of his action according to medical standards. It does not allege that he dispensed the drugs otherwise than to a patient in the course of his professional practice or for other than medical purposes. The facts disclosed indicate no conscious design to violate the law, no cause to suspect that the recipient intended to sell or otherwise dispose of the drugs, and no real probability that she would not consume them.

The court held that the alleged acts of the accused had not violated the Harrison Act, and in concluding its opinion said:

The opinion [in the case of *United States v. Behrman*, 258 U. S. 280, 287; 42 S. Ct. 303; 66 L. Ed. 619] can not be accepted as authority for holding that a physician, who acts bona fide and according to fair medical standards, may never give an addict moderate amounts of drugs for self-administration in order to relieve conditions incident to addiction. Enforcement of the tax demands no such drastic rule, and if the act had such scope it would certainly encounter grave constitutional difficulties.

The narcotic law is essentially a revenue measure and its provisions must be reasonably applied with the primary view of enforcing the special tax. We find no facts alleged in the indictment sufficient to show that petitioner had done anything falling within definite inhibitions or sufficient materially to imperil orderly collection of revenue from sales. Federal power is delegated, and its prescribed limits must not be transcended even though the end seems desirable. The unfortunate condition of the recipient certainly created no reasonable probability that she would sell or otherwise dispose of the few tablets intrusted to her; and we can not say that by so dispensing them the doctor necessarily transcended the limits of that professional conduct with which Congress never intended to interfere. (*Linder v. United States*, 45 S. Ct. 446.)

*Conviction for concealing smoking opium with knowledge of its unlawful importation upheld.*—(United States Supreme Court.) The defendant in the lower court was convicted of the offense of concealing a quantity of smoking opium after importation, with knowledge that it had been imported in violation of an act of Congress approved February 9, 1909, as amended by an act approved January 17, 1914. The statute, with exceptions pertaining to opium (other than smoking opium or opium prepared for smoking) for medicinal purposes, prohibited the importation of opium into the United States after April 1, 1909; made it unlawful to conceal or facilitate the concealment of such opium after importation, knowing it to have been unlawfully imported; provided that possession of such opium by a defendant on trial, where shown, should be sufficient evidence to authorize conviction unless explained by the defendant to the satisfaction of the jury; and provided that on and after July 1, 1913, smok-

ing opium or opium prepared for smoking found within the United States should be presumed to have been imported after April 1, 1909, and that the burden of proof should be on the claimant or the accused to rebut such presumption. The defendant challenged the constitutionality of the statutory provisions respecting the presumptions arising from the unexplained possession of the prohibited opium and from its presence in this country after the time fixed by the statute, contending that these legislative provisions contravened the due process of law and the compulsory self-incrimination clauses of the fifth amendment of the Federal Constitution. The Supreme Court refused to accept the defendant's views in the matter and upheld the provisions attacked. (*Yee Hem v. United States*, 45 S. Ct. 470.)

*Liability of city where typhoid fever epidemic was alleged to have been caused by sewage discharged by city.*—(New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division.) The plaintiffs' farms, on which they conducted a dairy business, were on land past which flowed Cassadaga Creek. Into this creek, a little above plaintiffs' farms, the city of Jamestown discharged sewage. A typhoid fever epidemic, which broke out in Jamestown, was traced to the milk produced by the plaintiffs. The plaintiffs claimed that the typhoid fever was caused by the sewage discharged by the city polluting the stream to which plaintiffs' cattle had access, and brought action for damages because of the pollution of the stream and because of the loss suffered by them in their dairy business. The defendant city contended that the typhoid fever outbreak was caused by a carrier on the dairy farm of plaintiffs. The jury found for the plaintiffs, and the appellate division of the supreme court held that where the evidence as to what caused the typhoid epidemic was entirely circumstantial, as in the present case, it was a question for the jury to decide. However, because certain erroneous instructions were given on behalf of the plaintiffs, the judgment for the plaintiffs was reversed. (*Forbes et al. v. City of Jamestown*, 209 N. Y. S. 99.)

*City not liable to employee for injuries caused by alleged negligent construction of incinerator.*—(North Carolina Supreme Court.) An employee of the city of Winston-Salem brought an action against the city because of injuries alleged to have been received on account of the negligent construction of an incinerator used for burning trash and refuse collected in the city. The defendant demurred, contending that the allegations of the plaintiff constituted no legal cause of action because the construction and operation of the incinerator was a governmental function and the city could not be held liable for injuries received on account of negligence in connection therewith. The lower court overruled the demurrer, but the supreme court held that it

should have been sustained. The following was contained in the opinion:

Negligence can not be imputed to the sovereign, and for this reason, in the absence of a statute, no private action for tort can be maintained against the State. It follows that such an action will not lie against a municipal corporation for damages resulting from the exercise of governmental functions as an agency of the sovereign power. \* \* \*

In applying these principles, we must hold that the incinerator was built in the discharge of a governmental function. The power to maintain public works, buildings, and improvements, to remove garbage and to provide for the health, comfort, and welfare of the people, is conferred by statute upon the cities and towns of the State (C. S. sec. 2787, subds. 5, 6, and sec. 2799). It was in pursuance of this legislation that the furnace was constructed; and as suggested in *Snider v. High Point*, supra, the acts complained of were in the performance of duties authorized by law solely for the public benefit, governmental in character, and not merely private and corporate. There was error in overruling the demurrer. (*Scales v. City of Winston-Salem*, 127 S. E. 543.)

### DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED JULY 18, 1925

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended July 18, 1925, and corresponding week of 1924. (From the Weekly Health Index, July 21, 1925, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)

	Week ended July 18, 1925	Corresponding week, 1924
Policies in force.....	60, 539, 284	56, 566, 615
Number of death claims.....	10, 541	9, 388
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate.....	9. 1	8. 7

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended July 18, 1925, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1924. (From the Weekly Health Index, July 21, 1925, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)

City	Week ended July 18, 1925		Annual death rate per 1,000 corresponding week, 1924	Deaths under 1 year		Infant mortality rate week ended July 18, 1925 <sup>2</sup>
	Total deaths	Death rate <sup>1</sup>		Week ended July 18, 1925	Corresponding week, 1924	
Total (66 cities).....	5, 785	10. 8	10. 7	705	693	4. 56
Akron.....	23			3	1	34
Albany <sup>3</sup> .....	36	15. 7	10. 6	1	2	22
Atlanta.....	74			10	10	
Baltimore <sup>4</sup> .....	203	13. 3	12. 4	31	25	93
Birmingham.....	57	14. 5	18. 4	12	8	
Boston.....	167	11. 1	11. 6	20	24	53
Bridgeport.....	28			2	2	32
Buffalo.....	105	9. 9	8. 3	16	10	65
Cambridge.....	23	10. 7	10. 2	3	2	52
Camden.....	35	14. 2	8. 3	6	2	95
Chicago <sup>5</sup> .....	562	9. 8	9. 1	51	58	45
Cincinnati.....	122	15. 5	13. 0	14	15	83
Cleveland.....	150	8. 4	8. 1	21	24	52

<sup>1</sup> Annual rate per 1,000 population.

<sup>2</sup> Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births—an annual rate based on deaths under 1 year for the week and estimated births for 1924. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.

<sup>3</sup> Data for 65 cities.

<sup>4</sup> Data for 61 cities.

<sup>5</sup> Deaths for week ended Friday, July 17, 1925.

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended July 18, 1925, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1924. (From the Weekly Health Index, July 21, 1925, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)—Continued

City	Week ended July 18, 1925		Annual death rate per 1,000 corresponding week, 1924	Deaths under 1 year		Infant mortality rate week ended July 18, 1925
	Total deaths	Death rate		Week ended July 18, 1925	Corresponding week, 1924	
Columbus.....	68	12.7	12.6	11	3	101
Dallas.....	58	15.6	15.3	10	11	63
Dayton.....	34	10.3	8.9	4	6	0
Denver.....	80	14.8	13.0	14	11	5
Des Moines.....	32	11.2	7.9	0	5	62
Detroit.....	220	9.4	5.8	36	32	86
Duluth.....	20	11.9		4	1	
El Paso.....	24			5	1	19
Erie.....	17		9.0	1	2	86
Fall River <sup>1</sup> .....	27	11.6	6.7	6	4	16
Flint.....	21	8.4	9.2	1	4	
Fort Worth.....	25	8.6	10.2	1	4	
Grand Rapids.....	38	13.0	18.9	6	3	94
Houston.....	40	12.6	12.8	6	7	
Indianapolis.....	84	12.2	9.0	9	7	64
Jersey City.....	45	7.4	14.1	5	7	35
Kansas City, Kans.....	27	11.4	14.2	4	3	84
Kansas City, Mo.....	83	11.8		15	11	
Los Angeles.....	215			25	24	69
Louisville.....	65	13.1	14.9	8	4	70
Lowell.....	30	13.4	12.2	4	9	70
Lynn.....	14	7.0	6.5	2	4	53
Memphis.....	59	17.6	22.1	14	8	
Milwaukee.....	97	10.1	7.9	7	14	33
Minneapolis.....	88	10.8	9.4	4	9	21
Nashville <sup>1</sup> .....	62	23.7	16.5	16	1	
New Bedford.....	22	8.5	7.9	2	5	33
New Haven.....	25	7.3	11.0	5	8	65
New Orleans.....	136	17.1	18.2	26	26	
New York.....	1,168	10.0	10.1	147	134	59
Bronx Borough.....	140	8.1	8.4	16	9	55
Brooklyn Borough.....	360	8.4	8.9	42	49	43
Manhattan Borough.....	523	12.1	11.9	75	66	78
Queens Borough.....	112	10.2	8.6	8	8	37
Richmond Borough.....	33	12.9	16.8	6	2	107
Newark, N. J.....	75	8.6	10.6	10	17	46
Norfolk.....	32			7	3	129
Oakland.....	52	10.7	7.8	4	5	46
Oklahoma City.....	23			2	3	
Omaha.....	63	15.5	13.0	3	4	31
Paterson.....	25	9.2	9.3	2	3	34
Philadelphia.....	383	10.1	10.7	47	43	59
Pittsburgh.....	167	13.8	10.2	22	21	73
Portland, Oreg.....	59	10.9	9.0	1	3	10
Providence.....	49	10.4	9.6	4	7	32
Richmond.....	47	13.1	19.3	10	15	120
Rochester.....	62	9.8	7.4	3	5	24
St. Louis.....	186	11.8	11.8	18	18	
St. Paul.....	44	9.3	9.6	4	5	34
Salt Lake City <sup>1</sup> .....	28	11.1	11.0	1	3	16
San Antonio.....	53	14.0	12.0	9	10	
San Diego.....	43	21.1	15.1	5	2	117
San Francisco.....	104	9.7	13.1	9	9	52
Schenectady.....	13	6.6	4.2	0	0	0
Seattle.....	58			3	5	29
Somerville.....	13	6.6	9.9	0	2	0
Spokane.....	23	11.0	11.5	0	2	0
Springfield, Mass.....	24	8.2	9.1	3	4	45
Syracuse.....	26	7.1	8.6	2	6	25
Tacoma.....	23	11.5	10.1	2	2	47
Toledo.....	57	10.3	7.8	6	5	54
Trenton.....	37	14.6	17.3	3	4	49
Washington, D. C.....	104	10.9	12.4	13	11	73
Waterbury.....	15			2	3	43
Wilmington, Del.....	16	6.8	10.4	1	2	23
Worcester.....	38	10.6	11.7	4	3	46
Yonkers.....	15	7.0	6.7	4	2	87
Youngstown.....	24	7.8	5.7	4	3	49

<sup>1</sup>Deaths for week ended Friday, July 17, 1925.



COLORADO—continued	Cases
Pneumonia.....	2
Scarlet fever.....	17
Tuberculosis.....	32
Typhoid fever.....	4
Whooping cough.....	40
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>	
Chicken pox.....	8
Diphtheria.....	17
German measles.....	1
Malaria.....	2
Measles.....	60
Mumps.....	4
Pneumonia (all forms).....	13
Poliomyelitis.....	4
Scarlet fever.....	14
Tetanus.....	2
Tuberculosis (all forms).....	32
Typhoid fever.....	4
Whooping cough.....	75
<b>DELAWARE</b>	
Measles.....	2
Mumps.....	5
Pneumonia.....	1
Tuberculosis.....	5
Typhoid fever.....	2
Whooping cough.....	1
<b>FLORIDA</b>	
Chicken pox.....	1
Diphtheria.....	7
Influenza.....	2
Malaria.....	13
Mumps.....	1
Poliomyelitis.....	2
Tuberculosis.....	7
Typhoid fever.....	13
Whooping cough.....	1
<b>GEORGIA</b>	
Chicken pox.....	1
Dengue.....	4
Diphtheria.....	6
Dysentery.....	22
German measles.....	1
Hookworm disease.....	11
Influenza.....	6
Malaria.....	134
Measles.....	2
Mumps.....	17
Paratyphoid fever.....	1
Pellagra.....	7
Pneumonia.....	8
Poliomyelitis.....	1
Scarlet fever.....	4
Septic sore throat.....	2
Tetanus.....	1
Typhoid fever.....	87
Typhus fever.....	1
Tuberculosis.....	23
Whooping cough.....	24
<b>ILLINOIS</b>	
Diphtheria:	
Cook County.....	45
Scattering.....	18
Influenza.....	3

ILLINOIS—continued	Cases
Lethargic encephalitis:	
Champaign County.....	1
Coles County.....	1
Cook County.....	2
Measles.....	150
Pneumonia.....	70
Poliomyelitis:	
Cook County.....	2
Peoria County.....	3
Scarlet fever:	
Cook County.....	40
Scattering.....	21
Smallpox.....	16
Tuberculosis.....	185
Typhoid fever:	
Cook County.....	6
Scattering.....	52
Whooping cough.....	205
<b>INDIANA</b>	
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	1
Chicken pox.....	5
Diphtheria.....	9
Influenza.....	31
Measles.....	20
Scarlet fever.....	29
Smallpox.....	25
Tuberculosis.....	17
Typhoid fever.....	31
Whooping cough.....	86
<b>IOWA</b>	
Diphtheria.....	8
Scarlet fever.....	8
Smallpox.....	2
<b>KANSAS</b>	
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	1
Chicken pox.....	2
Diphtheria.....	1
Mumps.....	14
Measles.....	2
Pellagra.....	1
Pneumonia.....	4
Poliomyelitis.....	2
Scarlet fever.....	23
Smallpox.....	3
Tetanus.....	2
Tuberculosis.....	40
Typhoid fever.....	40
Whooping cough.....	119
<b>LOUISIANA</b>	
Diphtheria.....	6
Malaria.....	27
Pneumonia.....	9
Scarlet fever.....	6
Smallpox.....	1
Trachoma.....	1
Tuberculosis.....	35
Typhoid fever.....	83
Whooping cough.....	15
<b>MAINE</b>	
Chicken pox.....	1
Diphtheria.....	1
Dysentery.....	2
German measles.....	4

MAINE—continued	Cases
Influenza.....	5
Lethargic encephalitis.....	2
Measles.....	13
Mumps.....	26
Pneumonia.....	3
Scarlet fever.....	10
Tetanus.....	1
Tuberculosis.....	7
Typhoid fever.....	4
Vincent's angina.....	3
Whooping cough.....	11

MARYLAND <sup>1</sup>	Cases
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	1
Chicken pox.....	16
Diphtheria.....	14
Dysentery.....	16
German measles.....	1
Influenza.....	8
Lethargic encephalitis.....	1
Malaria.....	1
Measles.....	20
Mumps.....	11
Paratyphoid fever.....	4
Pneumonia (broncho).....	11
Pneumonia (lobar).....	10
Pneumonia (unspecified).....	1
Poliomyelitis.....	2
Rabies.....	1
Scarlet fever.....	6
Smallpox.....	1
Tuberculosis.....	90
Typhoid fever.....	25
Typhus fever.....	1
Whooping cough.....	120

MASSACHUSETTS	Cases
Chicken pox.....	35
Conjunctivitis (suppurative).....	15
Diphtheria.....	58
German measles.....	26
Influenza.....	2
Measles.....	175
Mumps.....	7
Ophthalmia neonatorum.....	38
Pneumonia (lobar).....	13
Poliomyelitis.....	2
Scarlet fever.....	41
Septic sore throat.....	1
Tetanus.....	2
Trachoma.....	3
Tuberculosis (pulmonary).....	93
Tuberculosis (other forms).....	111
Typhoid fever.....	16
Whooping cough.....	153

MICHIGAN	Cases
Diphtheria.....	31
Measles.....	55
Pneumonia.....	33
Scarlet fever.....	75
Smallpox.....	10
Tuberculosis.....	72
Typhoid fever.....	14
Whooping cough.....	163

MINNESOTA	Cases
Chicken pox.....	16
Diphtheria.....	47
Influenza.....	2
Measles.....	3
Poliomyelitis.....	37
Scarlet fever.....	61
Smallpox.....	5
Tuberculosis.....	53
Typhoid fever.....	2
Whooping cough.....	36

MISSOURI (Exclusive of Kansas City)	Cases
Chicken pox.....	5
Diphtheria.....	23
Malaria.....	4
Measles.....	7
Mumps.....	6
Pneumonia.....	11
Poliomyelitis.....	3
Scarlet fever.....	31
Smallpox.....	2
Tetanus.....	1
Tuberculosis.....	55
Typhoid fever.....	68
Whooping cough.....	63

MONTANA	Cases
Chicken pox.....	7
Diphtheria.....	4
German measles.....	2
Measles.....	1
Mumps.....	11
Poliomyelitis.....	4
Scarlet fever.....	9
Smallpox.....	2
Tuberculosis.....	4
Typhoid fever.....	4

NEW JERSEY	Cases
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	1
Chicken pox.....	27
Diphtheria.....	68
Measles.....	101
Pneumonia.....	30
Poliomyelitis.....	8
Scarlet fever.....	23
Smallpox.....	4
Typhoid fever.....	25
Whooping cough.....	125

NEW MEXICO	Cases
Chicken pox.....	4
Mumps.....	4
Pellagra.....	1
Pneumonia.....	3
Rabies in animals.....	15
Scarlet fever.....	1
Tuberculosis.....	29
Typhoid fever.....	11
Whooping cough.....	10

<sup>1</sup> Week ended Friday.

NEW YORK (Exclusive of New York City)		TEXAS	
	Cases		Cases
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	6	Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	2
Diphtheria.....	55	Chicken pox.....	5
Influenza.....	1	Diphtheria.....	14
Lethargic encephalitis.....	1	Dysentery.....	1
Measles.....	131	Mumps.....	14
Pneumonia.....	68	Pellagra.....	1
Poliomyelitis.....	13	Poliomyelitis.....	2
Scarlet fever.....	65	Scarlet fever.....	3
Smallpox.....	1	Smallpox.....	5
Typhoid fever.....	36	Tuberculosis.....	25
Whooping cough.....	210	Typhoid fever.....	14
		Whooping cough.....	15
NORTH CAROLINA		VERMONT	
Chicken pox.....	7	Chicken pox.....	25
Diphtheria.....	36	Diphtheria.....	3
Measles.....	3	Measles.....	26
Ophthalmia neonatorum.....	1	Mumps.....	8
Scarlet fever.....	16	Scarlet fever.....	4
Smallpox.....	11	Whooping cough.....	10
Typhoid fever.....	60		
Whooping cough.....	105	VIRGINIA	
		Poliomyelitis.....	1
OKLAHOMA (Exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa)		WASHINGTON	
Cerebrospinal meningitis:		Cerebrospinal meningitis—Seattle.....	1
Muskogee.....	1	Chicken pox.....	23
Pontotoc.....	1	Diphtheria.....	6
Chicken pox.....	3	Measles.....	2
Diphtheria.....	2	Mumps.....	11
Influenza.....	11	Poliomyelitis—King County.....	1
Malaria.....	76	Scarlet fever.....	13
Measles.....	2	Smallpox.....	18
Mumps.....	2	Tuberculosis.....	24
Pellagra.....	14	Typhoid fever.....	6
Pneumonia.....	4	Whooping cough.....	50
Scarlet fever.....	6		
Smallpox.....	5	WEST VIRGINIA	
Typhoid fever:		Diphtheria.....	5
Washington.....	8	Influenza.....	1
Scattering.....	132	Scarlet fever.....	10
Whooping cough.....	27	Smallpox.....	4
		Typhoid fever.....	9
OREGON		WISCONSIN	
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	1	Milwaukee:	
Chicken pox.....	8	Chicken pox.....	12
Diphtheria.....	13	Diphtheria.....	12
Dysentery.....	9 <sup>1</sup>	German measles.....	4
Influenza.....	1	Measles.....	15
Measles.....	3	Mumps.....	6
Mumps.....	10	Pneumonia.....	3
Pneumonia.....	14	Scarlet fever.....	2
Scarlet fever.....	4	Smallpox.....	1
Smallpox.....	3	Whooping cough.....	46
Tuberculosis.....	11	Scattering:	
Typhoid fever.....	4	Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	2
Whooping cough.....	6	Chicken pox.....	43
		Diphtheria.....	31
SOUTH DAKOTA		German measles.....	9
Mumps.....	1	Influenza.....	7
Scarlet fever.....	15	Measles.....	69
Trachoma.....	2	Mumps.....	28
Tuberculosis.....	1	Pneumonia.....	4
Typhoid fever.....	1	Poliomyelitis.....	15

<sup>1</sup> Deaths.

WISCONSIN—continued

	Cases
Scattering—Continued	
Scarlet fever.....	45
Smallpox.....	26
Tuberculosis.....	35
Typhoid fever.....	5
Whooping cough.....	122

WYOMING

	Cases
Mumps.....	2
Scarlet fever.....	2
Smallpox.....	2
Typhoid fever.....	3
Whooping cough.....	3

Reports for Week Ended July 18, 1925

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

	Cases
Chicken pox.....	3
Diphtheria.....	7
Measles.....	13
Pellagra.....	1
Pneumonia.....	9
Scarlet fever.....	4
Tuberculosis.....	25
Typhoid fever.....	3
Whooping cough.....	17

NORTH DAKOTA

	Cases
Diphtheria.....	2
Measles.....	1
Mumps.....	3
Pneumonia.....	1
Poliomyelitis.....	11
Scarlet fever.....	8
Tuberculosis.....	5
Whooping cough.....	23

NEBRASKA

Chicken pox.....	2
Diphtheria.....	2
Mumps.....	2
Scarlet fever.....	2
Smallpox.....	4
Typhoid fever.....	1
Whooping cough.....	14

WYOMING

Chicken pox.....	4
Diphtheria.....	1
Mumps.....	3
Rocky Mountain spotted fever—Sheridan.....	1
Scarlet fever.....	1
Typhoid fever.....	1
Whooping cough.....	3

SUMMARY OF MONTHLY REPORTS FROM STATES

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week:

State	Cerebro-spinal meningitis	Diphtheria	Influenza	Malaria	Measles	Pellagra	Poliomyelitis	Scarlet fever	Smallpox	Typhoid fever
<i>June, 1925</i>										
Colorado.....		98	3		33		1	88	1	15
Idaho.....	0	23	2	0			1	5		4
Illinois.....	5	323	66	8	4,010		6	909	192	123
Louisiana.....	2	30	25	72	7	81	1	45	33	302
Maine.....	2	20	2	0	25	0	1	43	0	7
Maryland.....	2	74	29	5	303	0	5	112	3	44
Michigan.....		296	32	1	2,359		6	1,001	117	31
Minnesota.....		228	7		96		9	562	28	9
Mississippi.....	1	33	215	7,701	202	1,749	2	13	119	653
New Jersey.....	7	274	9	1	1,575		15	511	17	29
North Dakota.....	0	6	0	0	3	1	5	67	15	1
Ohio.....	6	237	24	2	2,135		6	824	464	91
Oregon.....	7	104	9	1	17		1	58	62	11
Pennsylvania.....	7	714	0	2	5,601	0	4	1,217	21	117
Rhode Island.....	1	27					3	33	6	7
West Virginia.....	1	20	26		338	1		88	87	38

### RECIPROCAL NOTIFICATIONS, JUNE, 1925

*Notifications regarding communicable diseases sent during the month of June, 1925, to other State health departments by departments of health of certain States*

Referred by—	Diph-theria	Ma-laria	Mea-sles	Scarlet fever	Small-pox	Tuber-culosis	Ty-phoid fever	Whoop-ing cough
Illinois.....		1	1	1	6	6	3	1
Massachusetts.....						1		
Minnesota.....					2	61	2	
New York.....	1		1	2	1		3	
Washington.....					1			1

### PLAGUE-ERADICATIVE MEASURES IN THE UNITED STATES

The following items were taken from the reports of plague-eradicate measures from the cities named:

#### *Los Angeles, Calif.*

Week ended July 11, 1925:

Number of rats trapped.....	1, 162
Number of rats found plague infected.....	0
Number of squirrels examined.....	1, 099
Number of squirrels found plague infected.....	0

Date of discovery of last plague-infected rat, June 25, 1925.

Date of last human case, Jan. 15, 1925.

#### *Oakland, Calif.*

(Including other East Bay communities)

Week ended July 11, 1925:

Number of rats trapped.....	1, 394
Number of rats found to be plague infected.....	0
Number of squirrels examined.....	493
Number of squirrels found to be plague infected.....	0

Totals:

Number of rats trapped Jan. 1 to July 11, 1925.....	58, 466
Number of rats found to be plague infected.....	21
Number of squirrels examined May 1 to July 11, 1925.....	5, 623
Number of squirrels found to be plague infected.....	0

Date of discovery of last plague-infected rat, Mar. 4, 1925.

Date of last human case, Sept. 10, 1919.

#### *New Orleans, La.*

Week ended July 11, 1925:

Number of vessels inspected.....	227
Number of inspections made.....	601
Number of vessels fumigated with cyanide gas.....	18
Number of rodents examined for plague.....	3, 875
Number of rodents found to be plague infected.....	0

Totals, Dec. 5, 1924, to July 11, 1925:

Number of rodents examined for plague.....	141, 003
Number of rodents found to be plague infected.....	12

Date of discovery of last plague-infected rat, Jan. 17, 1925.

Date of last human case occurring in New Orleans, Aug. 20, 1920.

## POLIOMYELITIS IN THE UNITED STATES

*Summary of reports for the seven weeks ended July 18, 1925, and for the corresponding period of 1924.*—An increase in the number of cases of poliomyelitis (infantile paralysis) is usual during the late spring and summer. However, the reports from the health officers of several States indicate somewhat more than the usual increase this year.

The following tables show the number of cases of poliomyelitis reported by State health officers of 32 States for the seven weeks from May 31 to July 18, 1925, and from June 1 to July 19, 1924.

The figures for both years are compiled from preliminary telegraphic reports.

*Cases of poliomyelitis reported by State health officers for the seven weeks ended July 18, 1925, compared with reports for the corresponding period of 1924*

State	1925	1924	State	1925	1924
Alabama.....	18	13	Missouri.....	8	0
Arizona.....	9	0	Montana.....	2	0
Arkansas.....	5	0	Nebraska.....	0	1
California.....	164	8	New Jersey.....	35	2
Colorado.....	1	0	New Mexico.....	0	0
Connecticut.....	2	7	New York.....	66	41
Delaware.....	1	1	North Carolina.....	15	2
District of Columbia.....	0	1	South Dakota.....	1	0
Florida.....	11	2	Texas.....	19	0
Georgia.....	5	6	Vermont.....	0	1
Illinois.....	20	9	Virginia.....	2	1
Indiana.....	0	2	Washington.....	1	4
Kansas.....	4	1	West Virginia.....	1	0
Louisiana.....	2	1	Wisconsin.....	17	2
Maine.....	2	0	Wyoming.....	0	0
Maryland.....	7	4			
Massachusetts.....	8	9	Total.....	462	121
Minnesota.....	46	3			

An outbreak of poliomyelitis occurred in South Carolina, beginning in April, 1925. To July 16, 1925, 102 cases were reported.

*Cases of poliomyelitis reported by the health officers of 32 States May 31 to July 18, 1925, and June 1 to July 19, 1924, by weeks*

Week ended—	1925	1924	Week ended—	1925	1924
June 6, 1925; June 7, 1924.....	34	15	July 11, 1925; July 12, 1924.....	84	19
June 13, 1925; June 14, 1924.....	44	10	July 18, 1925; July 19, 1924.....	134	32
June 20, 1925; June 21, 1924.....	44	11			
June 27, 1925; June 28, 1924.....	61	14	Total, 7 weeks.....	462	121
July 4, 1925; July 5, 1924.....	61	20			

## TYPHOID FEVER IN THE UNITED STATES

*Summary of reports for six weeks ended July 18, 1925.*—During the period from June 8 to July 18, 1925, 4,083 cases of typhoid fever were reported to the United States Public Health Service by the health officers of 35 States. For the corresponding six-week period of 1924, the same States reported 2,642 cases of typhoid fever.

The New England, Middle Atlantic, Mountain, and Pacific States showed some decrease in typhoid fever this year as compared with last year. All other groups of States showed an increase. Some of the States have recently made efforts to secure better reports of communicable diseases, and this may account in part for the higher figures shown for 1925.

#### GENERAL CURRENT SUMMARY AND WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

*Diphtheria.*—For the week ended July 11, 1925, 34 States reported 876 cases of diphtheria. For the week ended July 12, 1924, the same States reported 1,112 cases of this disease. One hundred and three cities, situated in all parts of the country, and having an aggregate population of more than 28,700,000, reported 533 cases of diphtheria for the week ended July 11, 1925. Last year, for the corresponding week, they reported 689 cases. The estimated expectancy for these cities was 702 cases. The estimated expectancy is based on the experience of the last nine years, excluding epidemics.

*Measles.*—Thirty-two States reported 1,936 cases of measles for the week ended July 11, 1925, and 2,792 cases of this disease for the week ended July 12, 1924. One hundred and three cities reported 1,070 cases of measles for the week this year, and 985 cases last year.

*Scarlet fever.*—Scarlet fever was reported for the week as follows: 34 States—this year, 1,068 cases; last year, 1,250 cases; 103 cities—this year, 493 cases; last year, 548; estimated expectancy, 391 cases.

*Smallpox.*—For the week ended July 11, 1925, 34 States reported 283 cases of smallpox. Last year for the corresponding week they reported 521 cases. One hundred and three cities reported smallpox for the week as follows: 1925, 91 cases; 1924, 165 cases; estimated expectancy, 53 cases. Four deaths from smallpox were reported by these cities for the week this year—one at Chicago, Ill., two at Cleveland, Ohio, and one at Milwaukee, Wis.

*Typhoid fever.*—Eight hundred and fifty-four cases of typhoid fever were reported for the week ended July 11, 1925, by 33 States. For the corresponding week of 1924 the same States reported 579 cases. One hundred and three cities reported 192 cases of typhoid fever for the week this year, and 142 cases for the corresponding week last year. The estimated expectancy for these cities was 134 cases.

*Influenza and pneumonia.*—Deaths from influenza and pneumonia (combined) were reported for the week by 103 cities as follows: 1925, 339 deaths; 1924, 327 deaths.

City reports for week ended July 11, 1925

The "estimated expectancy" given for diphtheria, poliomyelitis, scarlet fever, smallpox, and typhoid fever is the result of an attempt to ascertain from previous occurrences how many cases of the disease under consideration may be expected to occur during a certain week in the absence of epidemics. It is based on reports to the Public Health Service during the past nine years. It is in most instances the median number of cases reported in the corresponding week of the preceding years. When the reports include several epidemics or when for other reasons the median is unsatisfactory, the epidemic periods are excluded and the estimated expectancy is the mean number of cases reported for the week during nonepidemic years.

If reports have not been received for the full nine years, data are used for as many years as possible, but no year earlier than 1915 is included. In obtaining the estimated expectancy, the figures are smoothed when necessary to avoid abrupt deviations from the usual trend. For some of the diseases given in the table the available data were not sufficient to make it practicable to compute the estimated expectancy.

Division, State, and city	Population July 1, 1923, estimated	Chicken pox, cases reported	Diphtheria		Influenza		Measles, cases reported	Mumps, cases reported	Pneumonia, deaths reported
			Cases, estimated expectancy	Cases reported	Cases reported	Deaths reported			
<b>NEW ENGLAND</b>									
<b>Maine:</b>									
Portland.....	73, 129	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	2
<b>New Hampshire:</b>									
Concord.....	22, 408	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nashua.....	29, 234	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
<b>Vermont:</b>									
Barre.....	110, 008	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burlington.....	23, 613	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
<b>Massachusetts:</b>									
Boston.....	770, 400	0	45	8	1	0	59	2	6
Fall River.....	120, 912	1	2	1	0	0	4	0	0
Springfield.....	144, 227	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	1
Worcester.....	191, 927	1	3	3	0	0	16	0	2
<b>Rhode Island:</b>									
Pawtucket.....	68, 799	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Providence.....	242, 378	0	7	4	0	0	7	0	3
<b>Connecticut:</b>									
Bridgeport.....	<sup>1</sup> 143, 555	1	4	3	0	0	2	0	2
Hartford.....	<sup>1</sup> 138, 036	0	4	3	0	0	2	0	0
New Haven.....	172, 967	0	2	1	0	0	22	0	2
<b>MIDDLE ATLANTIC</b>									
<b>New York:</b>									
Buffalo.....	536, 718	3	10	1	0	0	39	4	7
New York.....	5, 927, 625	58	202	177	1	2	149	16	80
Rochester.....	317, 867	0	5	2	0	0	59	3	2
Syracuse.....	184, 511	11	5	1	0	0	2	4	5
<b>New Jersey:</b>									
Camden.....	124, 157	1	2	5	0	0	9	0	0
Newark.....	438, 699	15	11	6	0	0	45	2	5
Trenton.....	127, 390	0	3	1	0	0	3	0	0
<b>Pennsylvania:</b>									
Philadelphia.....	1, 922, 788	20	44	47	0	0	83	10	17
Pittsburgh.....	613, 442	15	15	7	0	1	80	2	10
Reading.....	110, 917	1	2	3	0	0	24	1	1
Scranton.....	140, 636	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup> Population Jan. 1, 1920.

## City reports for week ended July 11, 1925—Continued

Division, State, and city	Population July 1, 1923, estimated	Chicken pox, cases reported	Diphtheria		Influenza		Measles, cases reported	Mumps, cases reported	Pneumonia, deaths reported
			Cases, estimated expectancy	Cases reported	Cases reported	Deaths reported			
<b>EAST NORTH CENTRAL</b>									
Ohio:									
Cincinnati.....	406,312	0	6	5	0	0	0	3	4
Cleveland.....	888,519	40	17	20	0	1	42	2	7
Columbus.....	261,062	2	2	1	0	0	2	0	0
Toledo.....	268,338	13	5	16	0	0	35	0	1
Indiana:									
Fort Wayne.....	93,573	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
Indianapolis.....	342,718	1	5	1	0	11	0	0	8
South Bend.....	76,709	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Terre Haute.....	68,939	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	0
Illinois:									
Chicago.....	2,886,121	25	83	48	2	2	156	4	33
Cicero.....	55,968	2	0	1	0	0	16	8	0
Springfield.....	61,833	2	0	1	0	0	16	8	0
Michigan:									
Detroit.....	995,668	11	38	27	0	0	12	4	13
Flint.....	117,968	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	2
Grand Rapids.....	145,947	1	3	3	0	0	27	0	1
Wisconsin:									
Madison.....	42,519	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Milwaukee.....	484,595	5	10	9	0	0	30	16	9
Racine.....	64,393	4	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Superior.....	139,671	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
<b>WEST NORTH CENTRAL</b>									
Minnesota:									
Duluth.....	106,260	1	1	1	0	0	2	1	3
Minneapolis.....	409,125	35	9	10	0	0	2	1	5
St. Paul.....	241,691	18	11	10	0	0	3	1	5
Iowa:									
Des Moines.....	140,923	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
Sioux City.....	79,662	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Waterloo.....	39,667	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Missouri:									
Kansas City.....	351,819	2	4	0	0	0	1	5	3
St. Joseph.....	78,232	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Louis.....	803,853	0	24	22	0	0	8	4	0
North Dakota:									
Fargo.....	24,841	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
Grand Forks.....	14,547	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Dakota:									
Aberdeen.....	15,829	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sioux Falls.....	29,206	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nebraska:									
Lincoln.....	58,761	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Omaha.....	204,382	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	5
Kansas:									
Topeka.....	52,555	1	1	2	0	0	1	9	0
Wichita.....	79,261	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>SOUTH ATLANTIC</b>									
Delaware:									
Wilmington.....	117,728	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	2
Maryland:									
Baltimore.....	773,580	10	11	17	6	0	35	21	7
Cumberland.....	32,361	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick.....	11,301	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District of Columbia:									
Washington.....	1,437,571	0	4	4	0	0	25	0	7
Virginia:									
Lynchburg.....	30,277	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2
Norfolk.....	159,069	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Richmond.....	181,044	1	1	0	0	0	16	3	2
Roanoke.....	55,502	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	1
West Virginia:									
Charleston.....	45,597	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	1
Huntington.....	57,918	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wheeling.....	156,208	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	3
North Carolina:									
Raleigh.....	29,171	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Wilmington.....	35,719	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Winston-Salem.....	56,230	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1

<sup>1</sup> Population Jan. 1, 1920.

City reports for week ended July 11, 1925—Continued

Division, State, and city	Population July 1, 1923, estimated	Chick- en pox, cases re- ported	Diphtheria		Influenza		Meas- les, cases re- ported	Mumps, cases re- ported	Pneu- monia, deaths re- ported
			Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported			
<b>SOUTH ATLANTIC—CON.</b>									
<b>South Carolina:</b>									
Charleston.....	71, 245	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
Columbia.....	39, 688	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greenville.....	25, 789	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Georgia:</b>									
Atlanta.....	222, 963	1	2	0	5	0	0	1	5
Brunswick.....	15, 937	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Savannah.....	89, 448	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
<b>Florida:</b>									
St. Petersburg.....	24, 403	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tampa.....	56, 050	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>EAST SOUTH CENTRAL</b>									
<b>Kentucky:</b>									
Covington.....	57, 877	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1
Louisville.....	257, 671	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
<b>Tennessee:</b>									
Memphis.....	170, 067	2	1	0	0	3	3	0	1
Nashville.....	121, 128	4	0	0	0	0	17	0	1
<b>Alabama:</b>									
Birmingham.....	195, 901	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	6
Mobile.....	63, 858	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Montgomery.....	45, 383	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>WEST SOUTH CENTRAL</b>									
<b>Arkansas:</b>									
Fort Smith.....	30, 635	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Little Rock.....	70, 916	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Louisiana:</b>									
New Orleans.....	404, 575	0	5	3	2	2	0	0	8
Shreveport.....	54, 590	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Oklahoma:</b>									
Oklahoma City.....	101, 150	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Tulsa.....	102, 018	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Texas:</b>									
Dallas.....	177, 274	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2
Galveston.....	46, 877	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Houston.....	154, 970	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1
San Antonio.....	184, 727	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>MOUNTAIN</b>									
<b>Montana:</b>									
Billings.....	16, 927	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	0
Great Falls.....	27, 787	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
Helena.....	112, 037	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missoula.....	112, 668	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Idaho:</b>									
Boise.....	22, 806	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Colorado:</b>									
Denver.....	272, 031	2	7	3	0	3	16	4	4
Pueblo.....	43, 519	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
<b>New Mexico:</b>									
Albuquerque.....	16, 648	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Arizona:</b>									
Phoenix.....	33, 899	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Utah:</b>									
Salt Lake City.....	126, 241	22	3	4	0	0	1	16	2
<b>Nevada:</b>									
Reno.....	12, 429	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>PACIFIC</b>									
<b>Washington:</b>									
Seattle.....	1 315, 685	8	4	0	0	1	0	20	0
Spokane.....	104, 573	14	1	9	0	0	0	0	0
Tacoma.....	101, 731	2	1	14	0	0	1	0	0
<b>Oregon:</b>									
Portland.....	273, 621	4	4	2	0	0	1	3	6
<b>California:</b>									
Los Angeles.....	666, 853	8	33	10	0	0	9	16	13
Sacramento.....	69, 950	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
San Francisco.....	539, 038	15	14	7	1	0	3	3	5

<sup>1</sup> Population Jan. 1, 1920.

## City reports for week ended July 11, 1925—Continued

Division, State, and city	Scarlet fever		Smallpox			Tuberculosis, deaths reported	Typhoid fever			Whooping cough, cases reported	Deaths, all causes
	Cases, estimated expectancy	Cases reported	Cases, estimated expectancy	Cases reported	Deaths reported		Cases, estimated expectancy	Cases reported	Deaths reported		
<b>NEW ENGLAND</b>											
Maine:											
Portland.....	1	3	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	3	19
New Hampshire:											
Concord.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Nashua.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Vermont:											
Barre.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	-----
Burlington.....	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Massachusetts:											
Boston.....	18	38	0	0	0	14	2	2	2	23	210
Fall River.....	1	1	0	0	0	4	2	5	0	0	23
Springfield.....	2	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	30
Worcester.....	3	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	6	43
Rhode Island:											
Pawtucket.....	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Providence.....	4	4	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	3	65
Connecticut:											
Bridgeport.....	3	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	21
Hartford.....	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	15	30
New Haven.....	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	20	39
<b>MIDDLE ATLANTIC</b>											
New York:											
Buffalo.....	11	10	0	0	0	7	1	1	0	9	155
New York.....	71	52	0	0	0	196	19	23	3	115	1,210
Rochester.....	4	10	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	19	65
Syracuse.....	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	8	54
New Jersey:											
Camden.....	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	34
Newark.....	9	14	0	0	0	6	1	1	0	42	80
Trenton.....	1	1	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	44
Pennsylvania:											
Philadelphia.....	30	41	0	0	0	38	6	4	2	63	395
Pittsburgh.....	11	31	1	0	0	10	2	2	0	11	127
Reading.....	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	9	33
Scranton.....	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	12	1	1	-----
<b>EAST NORTH CENTRAL</b>											
Ohio:											
Cincinnati.....	4	4	1	0	0	3	1	3	0	7	85
Cleveland.....	11	5	1	4	2	16	2	2	0	67	188
Columbus.....	2	3	0	3	0	8	1	1	0	4	69
Toledo.....	8	4	1	1	0	5	1	1	0	18	61
Indiana:											
Fort Wayne.....	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-----	20
Indianapolis.....	4	1	0	2	0	6	1	1	0	41	115
South Bend.....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Terre Haute.....	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
Illinois:											
Chicago.....	37	62	1	2	1	55	3	8	0	81	603
Cicero.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-----
Springfield.....	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Michigan:											
Detroit.....	31	22	5	1	0	17	4	2	0	88	228
Flint.....	1	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	22
Grand Rapids.....	2	13	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	8	44
Wisconsin:											
Madison.....	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	-----
Milwaukee.....	17	5	2	2	1	3	0	1	1	46	75
Racine.....	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	6
Superior.....	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6

¹ Pulmonary tuberculosis only.

City reports for week ended July 11, 1925—Continued

Division, State, and city	Scarlet fever		Smallpox			Tuberculosis, deaths reported	Typhoid fever			Whooping cough, cases reported	Deaths, all causes
	Cases, estimated expectancy	Cases reported	Cases, estimated expectancy	Cases reported	Deaths reported		Cases, estimated expectancy	Cases reported	Deaths reported		
<b>WEST NORTH CENTRAL</b>											
Minnesota:											
Duluth.....	1		1				0				
Minneapolis.....	11	23	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	82
St. Paul.....	7	12	2	0	0	10	2	1	0	30	54
Iowa:											
Des Moines.....	2	3	3	0			0	0		0	
Sioux City.....	1	0	1	0			0	0		1	
Waterloo.....	1	0	0	3			0	0		7	
Missouri:											
Kansas City.....	2	1	1	0	0	11	1	8	0	27	99
St. Joseph.....	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	27
St. Louis.....	9	27	0	5	0	16	4	8	1	14	239
North Dakota:											
Fargo.....	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4
Grand Forks.....	0	0	1	0			0	0	0	0	
South Dakota:											
Aberdeen.....	0	0	0	0			0	0		6	
Sioux Falls.....	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Nebraska:											
Lincoln.....	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	13	20
Omaha.....	1	0	3	2	0	3	1	0	0	6	54
Kansas:											
Topeka.....	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	19
Wichita.....	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	18	28
<b>SOUTH ATLANTIC</b>											
Delaware:											
Wilmington.....	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	29
Maryland:											
Baltimore.....	9	7	0	0	0	15	5	5	1	116	194
Cumberland.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	7
Frederick.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
District of Columbia:											
Washington.....	5	6	0	0	0	8	3	3	1	22	117
Virginia:											
Lynchburg.....	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	4	17
Norfolk.....	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	5	
Richmond.....	1	3	0	0	0	7	1	6	1	0	61
Roanoke.....	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	21
West Virginia:											
Charleston.....	1	0	0	4	0	2	1	0	0	3	16
Huntington.....	0	0	0	2			1	1	1	0	
Wheeling.....	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	20
North Carolina:											
Raleigh.....	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	15
Wilmington.....	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	33
Winston-Salem.....	1	0	1	4	0	2	3	0	0	20	22
South Carolina:											
Charleston.....	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	33
Columbia.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	
Greenville.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	12
Georgia:											
Atlanta.....	2	1	4	0	0	4	3	3	3	8	83
Brunswick.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Savannah.....	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	1	23
Florida:											
St. Petersburg.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
Tampa.....	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	26
<b>EAST SOUTH CENTRAL</b>											
Kentucky:											
Covington.....	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	12
Louisville.....	1	2	0	1	0	5	4	4	2	20	99
Tennessee:											
Memphis.....	1	0	0	1	0	6	5	13	1	10	56
Nashville.....	0	2	0	0	0	1	5	10	0	2	51
Alabama:											
Birmingham.....	0	18	0	10	0	7	3	0	0	10	61
Mobile.....	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	25
Montgomery.....	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	0	12

## City reports for week ended July 11, 1925—Continued

Division, State, and city	Scarlet fever		Smallpox			Tuber- culosis, deaths re- ported	Typhoid fever			Whoop- ing cough, cases re- ported	Deaths, all causes
	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported		Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported		
<b>WEST SOUTH CENTRAL</b>											
Arkansas:											
Fort Smith.....	1	0	1	0	0	0	8	0	9		
Little Rock.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0		
Louisiana:											
New Orleans.....	1	2	1	0	0	9	4	8	1	12	157
Shreveport.....	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	7	2	0	29
Oklahoma:											
Oklahoma.....	0	0	0	0	0	8	2	2	0	2	21
Tulsa.....	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	
Texas:											
Dallas.....	2	0	0	0	0	3	4	2	1		42
Galveston.....	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	15
Houston.....	0	0	1	0	0	5	1	2	0	0	50
San Antonio.....	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	1	1	66
<b>MOUNTAIN</b>											
Montana:											
Billings.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6
Great Falls.....	1	4	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	7
Helena.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Missoula.....	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
Idaho:											
Boise.....	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Colorado:											
Denver.....	5	11	2	0	0	12	2	1	1	30	74
Pueblo.....	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	5	12
New Mexico:											
Albuquerque.....	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	16
Arizona:											
Phoenix.....		0		0	0	8		0	0	0	23
Utah:											
Salt Lake City.....	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	17	27
Nevada:											
Reno.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
<b>PACIFIC</b>											
Washington:											
Seattle.....	5	1	2	6			0	0		5	
Spokane.....	2	4	3	0			0	0		14	
Tacoma.....	1	0	1	5	0	0	1	0	0	12	16
Oregon:											
Portland.....	4	5	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	
California:											
Los Angeles.....	8	10	1	22	0	18	3	2	0	55	196
Sacramento.....	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	2	0	12	30
San Francisco.....	7	3	0	2	0	18	1	2	0	9	157

City reports for week ended July 11, 1925—Continued

Division, State, and city	Cerebrospinal meningitis		Lethargic encephalitis		Pellagra		Poliomyelitis (infantile paralysis)		
	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases, estimated expectancy	Cases	Deaths
<b>NEW ENGLAND</b>									
Massachusetts:									
Boston.....	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
<b>MIDDLE ATLANTIC</b>									
New York:									
Buffalo.....	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
New York.....	0	2	0	3	0	1	3	7	0
New Jersey:									
Newark.....	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0
Pennsylvania:									
Philadelphia.....	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>EAST NORTH CENTRAL</b>									
Ohio:									
Columbus.....	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Illinois:									
Chicago.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
Michigan:									
Detroit.....	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Grand Rapids.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>WEST NORTH CENTRAL</b>									
Missouri:									
St. Louis.....	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iowa:									
Des Moines.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
<b>SOUTH ATLANTIC</b>									
Georgia:									
Atlanta.....	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<b>EAST SOUTH CENTRAL</b>									
Tennessee:									
Memphis.....	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nashville.....	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Alabama:									
Birmingham.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Mobile.....	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Montgomery.....	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
<b>WEST SOUTH CENTRAL</b>									
Louisiana:									
New Orleans.....	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0
Shreveport.....	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Texas:									
Dallas.....	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0
Houston.....	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
<b>PACIFIC</b>									
California:									
Los Angeles.....	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	8	1
Sacramento.....	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Francisco.....	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0

The following table gives the rates per hundred thousand population for 105 cities for the 10-week period ended July 11, 1925. The population figures used in computing the rates were estimated as of July 1, 1923, as this is the latest date for which estimates are available. The 105 cities reporting cases had an estimated aggregate population of nearly 29,000,000 and the 97 cities reporting deaths had more than 28,000,000 population. The number of cities in-

cluded in each group and the aggregate populations are shown in a separate table below.

Summary of weekly reports from cities, May 3 to July 11, 1925—Annual rates per 100,000 population <sup>1</sup>

## DIPHTHERIA CASE RATES

	Week ended—									
	May 9	May 16	May 23	May 30	June 6	June 13	June 20	June 27	July 4	July 11
105 cities.....	157	164	153	149	158	120	119	116	93	97
New England.....	109	154	127	114	129	94	97	127	117	62
Middle Atlantic.....	212	238	203	211	244	156	166	163	96	127
East North Central.....	113	110	108	106	99	95	93	84	87	89
West North Central.....	278	211	251	197	189	145	133	114	131	97
South Atlantic.....	104	85	87	77	91	57	51	73	41	55
East South Central.....	11	34	40	11	11	11	6	34	6	23
West South Central.....	65	56	42	65	42	70	74	46	60	42
Mountain.....	105	153	134	143	76	181	191	105	181	105
Pacific.....	123	138	165	168	145	165	113	107	145	125

## MEASLES CASE RATES

	627	624	601	593	619	582	434	303	228	194
105 cities.....	627	624	601	593	619	582	434	303	228	194
New England.....	984	1,188	1,051	867	872	892	634	407	350	283
Middle Atlantic.....	797	768	617	704	774	727	544	382	258	249
East North Central.....	890	854	954	913	893	844	592	404	321	225
West North Central.....	112	79	236	145	114	135	87	60	31	37
South Atlantic.....	240	329	327	256	410	297	349	278	262	211
East South Central.....	343	166	337	217	132	212	114	132	97	120
West South Central.....	32	14	23	14	23	14	19	5	5	0
Mountain.....	181	57	181	248	38	95	76	95	38	57
Pacific.....	95	178	131	165	165	87	84	52	37	41

## SCARLET FEVER CASE RATES

	323	352	307	278	267	174	165	117	96	89
105 cities.....	323	352	307	278	267	174	165	117	96	89
New England.....	415	358	350	211	266	179	142	107	112	147
Middle Atlantic.....	319	331	265	271	263	156	145	100	79	81
East North Central.....	366	399	413	346	317	204	217	157	122	97
West North Central.....	618	728	556	531	481	325	328	184	168	136
South Atlantic.....	106	165	146	122	130	61	61	45	59	45
East South Central.....	263	326	246	183	126	160	160	91	74	126
West South Central.....	88	74	23	65	88	46	37	56	46	9
Mountain.....	277	353	324	410	394	277	143	210	105	153
Pacific.....	151	197	162	139	151	162	116	107	71	52

## SMALLPOX CASE RATES

	46	46	60	48	46	37	36	25	14	17
105 cities.....	46	46	60	48	46	37	36	25	14	17
New England.....	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Middle Atlantic.....	6	7	2	2	4	2	1	0	1	0
East North Central.....	44	56	70	58	65	42	45	20	14	12
West North Central.....	60	79	68	70	95	52	60	37	17	22
South Atlantic.....	45	37	65	10	39	22	30	18	10	24
East South Central.....	377	189	440	423	114	297	200	132	63	80
West South Central.....	28	37	130	56	32	5	19	0	5	5
Mountain.....	48	29	29	57	38	29	19	29	29	19
Pacific.....	176	191	186	168	191	148	154	171	89	102

<sup>1</sup> The figures given in this table are rates per 100,000 population, annual basis, and not the number of cases reported. Populations used are estimated as of July 1, 1923.

<sup>2</sup> Spokane, Wash., not included. Report not received at time of going to press.

<sup>3</sup> Tacoma, Wash., not included.

<sup>4</sup> Charleston, W. Va., not included.

<sup>5</sup> Cicero, Ill., not included.

<sup>6</sup> Cicero, Ill., and Spokane, Wash., not included.

<sup>7</sup> Cicero, Ill., and Duluth, Minn., not included.

<sup>8</sup> Duluth, Minn., not included.

Summary of weekly reports from cities, May 3 to July 11, 1925—Annual rates per 100,000 population—Continued

TYPHOID FEVER CASE RATES

	Week ended—									
	May 9	May 16	May 23	May 30	June 6	June 13	June 20	June 27	July 4	July 11
105 cities.....	14	13	19	16	25	28	22	27	35	35
New England.....	5	12	25	17	30	25	20	17	22	25
Middle Atlantic.....	13	10	19	9	26	17	14	18	15	17
East North Central.....	9	6	5	7	10	10	4	9	10	14
West North Central.....	2	0	4	10	8	25	12	10	21	45
South Atlantic.....	28	26	39	41	41	65	49	71	69	59
East South Central.....	46	73	74	51	40	129	80	91	200	177
West South Central.....	46	79	65	74	88	118	139	148	246	185
Mountain.....	0	0	19	10	76	48	38	9	16	29
Pacific.....	9	3	6	9	9	15	6	20	22	17

INFLUENZA DEATH RATES

105 cities.....	15	14	14	12	11	7	6	6	4	7
New England.....	10	7	5	7	2	5	2	7	2	0
Middle Atlantic.....	10	12	11	9	11	6	4	6	2	2
East North Central.....	16	11	12	14	10	7	7	6	5	2
West North Central.....	11	11	18	18	4	9	7	4	6	0
South Atlantic.....	24	10	6	12	6	4	6	2	6	0
East South Central.....	51	80	86	40	54	17	34	17	11	17
West South Central.....	15	26	24	31	5	29	16	10	10	10
Mountain.....	19	57	19	0	29	10	0	10	0	0
Pacific.....	16	12	25	8	12	4	4	4	4	0

PNEUMONIA DEATH RATES

105 cities.....	151	127	128	117	128	104	81	66	58	61
New England.....	161	134	119	114	72	117	62	60	45	45
Middle Atlantic.....	185	143	144	146	109	130	90	75	62	64
East North Central.....	130	125	125	119	114	89	81	42	45	59
West North Central.....	77	58	79	59	57	59	33	50	42	39
South Atlantic.....	156	136	134	157	146	122	77	96	75	67
East South Central.....	160	166	137	172	126	63	103	120	97	91
West South Central.....	138	112	84	76	66	87	92	76	61	61
Mountain.....	124	162	172	76	95	105	143	57	67	76
Pacific.....	123	78	135	82	131	49	65	53	82	74

Number of cities included in summary of weekly reports and aggregate population of cities in each group, estimated as of July 1, 1923

Group of cities	Number of cities reporting cases	Number of cities reporting deaths	Aggregate population of cities reporting cases	Aggregate population of cities reporting deaths
Total.....	105	97	28,898,350	28,140,934
New England.....	12	12	2,098,746	2,098,746
Middle Atlantic.....	10	10	10,304,114	10,304,114
East North Central.....	17	17	7,032,535	7,032,535
West North Central.....	14	11	2,515,330	2,381,454
South Atlantic.....	22	22	2,566,901	2,566,901
East South Central.....	7	7	911,885	911,885
West South Central.....	8	8	1,124,564	1,023,913
Mountain.....	9	9	546,445	546,445
Pacific.....	6	3	1,797,830	1,275,841

<sup>1</sup> Spokane, Wash., not included. Report not received at time of going to press.

<sup>2</sup> Tacoma, Wash., not included.

<sup>3</sup> Charleston, W. Va., not included.

<sup>4</sup> Cicero, Ill., not included.

<sup>5</sup> Cicero, Ill., and Spokane, Wash., not included.

<sup>6</sup> Cicero, Ill., and Duluth, Minn., not included.

<sup>7</sup> Duluth, Minn., not included.

## FOREIGN AND INSULAR

### THE FAR EAST

*Report for the week ended July 4, 1925.*—The following report for the week ended July 4, 1925, was transmitted by the Far Eastern Bureau of the Health Section of the League of Nations, located at Singapore, to the headquarters at Geneva:

Port	Plague		Cholera		Small-pox		Port	Plague		Cholera		Small-pox	
	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths		Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths
Calcutta.....		5		10	16	11	Bangkok <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	0	0	1	1
Bombay.....	0	0	4	2	6	3	Saigon and Cholon.....	0	0	0	1	0	0
Madras.....	0	0	0	0	16	7	Hongkong.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rangoon.....		18		1	2	1	Shanghai.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Karachi.....	0	0	0	0	1	1	Manila.....	0	0	4	0	0	0
Negapatam.....	0	0	0	1	0	0	Colombo <sup>1</sup> .....	4	1	0	0	0	0
Singapore <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	Nagasaki.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Port Swettenham.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	Yokohama.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penang.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	Shimonoseki.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Batavia.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	Kobe.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Soerabaya <sup>1</sup> .....	1	1	0	0	0	0	Adelaide.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Samarang.....	0	0	0	0	0	3	Brisbane.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Belawan Deli.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	Fremantle.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Macassar.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	Melbourne.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sandakan (North Borneo).....	0	0	0	0	0	0	Sydney.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sarawak.....	0	0	0	0	2	0	Port Said.....	2	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup> Infected rats found.

### MADAGASCAR

*Plague—Tanarive Province—May 1-15, 1925.*—From May 1 to 15, 1925, 47 cases of plague with 43 deaths were reported in Tanarive Province, Madagascar. The occurrence was distributed according to type as follows: Bubonic, 22 cases with 18 deaths; pneumonic, 5 cases with 5 deaths; septicemic, 20 cases with 20 deaths.

### PALESTINE

*Erroneous report of plague at Jerusalem—Correction.*—The Public Health Service has been informed that the report of a case of plague at Jerusalem, Palestine, which was included in reports received for the week ended March 9, 1925, and published in the Public Health Reports of April 17, 1925, page 810, and subsequent issues, was erroneous.

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, AND TYPHUS FEVER**

The reports contained in the following tables must not be considered as complete or final as regards either the lists of countries included or the figures for the particular countries for which reports are given.

**Reports Received During Week Ended July 31, 1925<sup>1</sup>****CHOLERA**

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
Ceylon.....	Apr. 5-May 2.....	47	33	May 24-30, 1925: Cases, 3,502; deaths, 2,104. Received out of date.
India.....				
Bombay.....	May 10-16.....	1	1	
Madras.....	June 14-20.....	2	2	
Rangoon.....	May 31-June 6.....	3	2	
Siam:				
Bangkok.....	May 24-30.....	2	1	

**PLAGUE**

Ceylon:				
Colombo.....	June 7-13.....	4	4	
Gold Coast.....	March-April.....	3	3	
India.....				May 24-30, 1925: Cases, 880; deaths, 694.
Bombay.....	May 31-June 6.....	2	2	May 10-16, 1925: Cases, 14; deaths, 11. Received out of date.
Madras (Presidency).....	June 17-23.....	2	2	
Rangoon.....	May 30-June 6.....	14	13	
Java:				
Paseroean Residency.....	May 25.....			Epidemic in native villages.
Soerakarta Residency.....	May 28.....			Epidemic at Kalidjambe.
Madagascar:				
Tananarive Province.....				May 1-15, 1925: Cases, 47; deaths, 43.
Tananarive Town.....	May 1-15.....	3	3	Bubonic, 2 cases, 2 deaths; pneumonic, 1 case, 1 death.
Other localities.....	do.....	44	40	Bubonic, cases, 20, deaths, 16; pneumonic, cases, 4, deaths, 4; septicemic, cases, 20, deaths, 20.
Siam:				
Bangkok.....	May 24-30.....	1		

**SMALLPOX**

Algeria:				
Algiers.....	June 1-30.....	26		June 1-15: Cases, 2; June 16-30, 1925: Cases, 24.
Brazil:				
Pernambuco.....	May 24-30.....	1	6	
Rio de Janeiro.....	June 14-20.....		1	
China:				
Amoy.....	May 31-June 13.....		8	Prevalent in vicinity.
France.....	Apr. 1-30.....	11		
Gold Coast.....				March-April, 1925: Cases, 253; deaths, 12.
Great Britain:				
England and Wales.....	June 21-27.....	68		
Newcastle on Tyne.....	June 28-July 4.....	1		
Greece.....				March-April, 1925: Cases, 1; deaths, 2.
India.....				May 24-30, 1925: Cases, 4,309; deaths, 1,078.
Bombay.....	May 31-June 6.....	12	10	May 10-16, 1925: Cases, 25; deaths, 13. Received out of date.
Madras.....	June 14-20.....	19	8	
Rangoon.....	May 31-June 6.....	14	6	
Iraq.....				Apr. 19-May 2, 1925: Cases, 29, deaths, 1.
Italy.....				Dec. 23, 1924-Apr. 18, 1925: Cases, 44.

<sup>1</sup> From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, AND TYPHUS FEVER—Continued**  
**Reports Received During Week Ended July 31, 1925—Continued**

**SMALLPOX—Continued**

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
Japan:				
Kobe.....	June 21-27.....	1		
Mexico:				
Guadalajara.....	July 7-13.....		1	
Tampico.....	July 1-10.....	2	1	
Portugal:				
Lisbon.....	June 14-27.....	8		
Oporto.....	June 14-20.....	1		
Russia:				February, 1925: Cases, 972.
Siam:				
Bangkok.....	May 24-30.....	2	1	
Spain:				
Valencia.....	June 21-27.....	2	1	
Tripoli:				Feb. 21-Mar. 4, 1925: Cases, 2.
Tunis:				
Tunis.....	June 17-23.....	3	3	
Union of South Africa:				
Transvaal.....	May 31-June 6.....			Outbreaks.

**TYPHUS FEVER**

Czechoslovakia.....				April, 1925: One case.
Greece.....				March-April, 1925: Cases, 12; deaths, 2.
Mexico:				
San Luis Potosi.....	June 28-July 4.....		1	
Morocco.....				February-April, 1925: Cases, 227.
Russia.....				February, 1925: Cases, 5,893.
Union of South Africa:				
Cape Province.....	May 31-June 6.....			Outbreaks.

**Reports Received from June 27 to July 24, 1925<sup>1</sup>**

**CHOLERA**

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
Algeria:				
Algiers.....	May 11-20.....	1		
Ceylon:				
Colombo.....	May 10-16.....	2	2	Jan. 25-Apr. 4, 1925: Cases, 10; deaths, 10.
India:				Apr. 26-May 23, 1925: Cases, 22,771; deaths, 14,011.
Calcutta.....	May 3-9.....	58	49	
Do.....	May 17-23.....	79	61	
Madras.....	June 6-13.....	2	1	
Rangoon.....	May 3-30.....	19	13	Feb. 8-14, 1925: Cases, 2; deaths, 2. Received out of date.
Indo-China:				
Saigon.....	May 4-31.....	3	3	
Siam:				
Bangkok.....	Apr. 29-May 23.....	5	2	
Turkey:				
Constantinople.....	May 16-22.....	1		

<sup>1</sup> From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources. For reports received from Dec. 27, 1924, to June 26, 1925, see Public Health Reports for June 26, 1925. The tables of epidemic diseases are terminated semiannually and new tables begun.

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, AND TYPHUS FEVER—Continued**  
**Reports Received from June 27 to July 24, 1925—Continued**

**PLAGUE**

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
<b>Brazil:</b>				
Bahia.....	May 3-June 13....	5	4	
<b>British East Africa:</b>				
Uganda.....	Feb. 1-28.....	28	28	
<b>Ceylon:</b>				
Colombo.....	May 10-30.....	1	2	
<b>China:</b>				
Foochow.....	May 24-31.....			Reported present in epidemic form.
<b>Ecuador:</b>				
Guayaquil.....	June 1-15.....	1	1	May 16-June 16, 1925: Rats examined, 20,967; found infected, 78.
<b>Egypt.....</b>				Jan. 1-June 17, 1925: Cases, 75. Corresponding period 1924—cases, 208.
<b>City—</b>				
Alexandria.....	June 17.....	1	1	Bubonic.
Port Said.....	June 17-18.....	1	1	Do.
Suez.....	June 14-15.....	2	1	Do.
<b>Province—</b>				
Assiout.....	June 5.....	1	1	
Beni Souef.....	June 10-16.....	8	4	
Charkieh.....	June 6-8.....	1	1	
Kena.....	June 17.....	1	1	
Minia.....	June 6-17.....	3	2	
<b>India.....</b>				Apr. 26-May 23, 1925: Cases, 21,525; deaths, 17,662.
Bombay.....	Apr. 26-May 9.....	15	16	
Do.....	May 17-30.....	20	18	
Calcutta.....	May 30-June 6.....	1	1	
Karachi.....	May 18-June 6.....	4	3	
Madras.....	May 10-16.....	2	1	
Rangoon.....	May 3-30.....	49	41	Feb. 8-14, 1925: Cases, 13; deaths, 13. (Received out of date.)
<b>Indo-China:</b>				
Cochin China—				
Saigon.....	Apr. 20-May 31....	2	2	Including 100 square kilometers of surrounding country.
<b>Iraq:</b>				
Bagdad.....	May 24-30.....	2		
<b>Java:</b>				
Batavia.....	May 6-29.....	21	21	
Pasoeroean Residency.....	Mar. 7.....			Epidemic in one locality.
Soerabaya.....	May 7-13.....	2	2	
<b>Madagascar:</b>				
<b>Province—</b>				
Itasy.....	Apr. 1-15.....	1	1	
Tananarive.....	Apr. 1-30.....	128	104	Bubonic, cases, 80, deaths, 61; pneumonic, cases 22; deaths, 17; septicemic, cases, 26; deaths, 26.
<b>Town—</b>				
Tamatave (port).....	Apr. 1-15.....	2		
Tananarive Town.....	Apr. 16-30.....	1	1	
<b>Nigeria.....</b>				
Do.....	Dec., 1924.....	17	13	
Do.....	Jan., 1925.....	10	6	
<b>Siam:</b>				
Bangkok.....	Apr. 26-May 9.....	5	5	
<b>Straits Settlements:</b>				
Singapore.....	May 3-30.....	9	9	
<b>Turkey:</b>				
Constantinople.....	May 25-31.....	1		

**SMALLPOX**

<b>Algeria:</b>				
Algiers.....				May 1-31, 1925: Cases, 17; deaths, 2
<b>Brazil:</b>				
Pernambuco.....	Apr. 26-May 23....	39	15	
Rio de Janeiro.....	May 9-16.....	1		
<b>British East Africa:</b>				
<b>Kenya—</b>				
Mombasa.....	Apr. 19-May 23....	21	9	
Nairobi.....	May 3-9.....	3	2	
Tanganyika Territory.....	Apr. 5-May 9.....	22	6	
Uganda.....	Feb. 1-28.....	2		
<b>British South Africa:</b>				
Northern Rhodesia.....	Apr. 28-May 4....	3		

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, AND TYPHUS FEVER—Continued****Reports Received from June 27 to July 24, 1925—Continued****SMALLPOX—Continued**

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
Canada:				
British Columbia—				
Vancouver	June 1-28	7		
New Brunswick—				
Restigouche County	June 1-30	1		
Ontario				May 31-June 27, 1925: Cases, 12; deaths, 1. Corresponding period, 1924: Cases, 24.
Galt	June 14-20	2		
Kingston	do	1		
Saskatchewan—				
Regina	May 24-30	3		
China:				
Amoy	May 17-30		4	Prevalent in surrounding country.
Antung	May 11-June 7	2		Present.
Canton	May 10-June 6			Widespread.
Chungking	May 3-30			Present.
Fochow	May 9-June 6			
Hongkong	Apr. 19-May 23	13	12	
Manchuria—				
Dairen	Apr. 13-June 7	107	16	
Harbin	May 13-June 2	2		Do.
Nanking	May 9-June 6			
Shanghai	May 3-June 6	5	2	
Swalow	May 17-June 6			Stated to be endemic.
Tientsin	May 9-June 6	2		
Chosen:				
Seoul	May 1-31	1		
Egypt:				
Alexandria	May 21-27	1	1	
Cairo	Mar. 19-May 22	2		
France:				
Paris	May 21-31	1		February-March, 1925: Cases, 48.
Gold Coast:				
				January-February, 1925: Cases, 114; deaths, 17.
Great Britain:				
England and Wales				May 24-June 20, 1925: Cases, 373.
Birmingham	June 7-13	1		
Cardiff	June 14-20	1		
Newcastle-on-Tyne	May 31-June 13	4		
Greece:				
Athens	May 1-31		2	January-February, 1925: Cases, 43; deaths, 6.
India:				
Bombay	Apr. 26-May 9	48	42	Apr. 26-May 23, 1925: Cases, 20,092; deaths, 4,976.
Do.	May 23-30	38	27	
Calcutta	May 3-9	109	100	
Do.	May 17-23	75	61	
Do.	May 31-June 6	50	45	
Karachi	May 18-June 13	5	1	
Madras	do	105	45	
Rangoon	May 3-30	157	80	
Indo-China:				
Cochin-China—				
Saigon	Apr. 20-May 24	13	9	
Irak:				
Bagdad	Apr. 20-May 2	3		Jan. 11-Apr. 4, 1925: Cases, 87; deaths, 42.
Jamaica:				
Kingston	Apr. 26-June 27	19		Apr. 26-June 27, 1925: Cases, 11; (reported as alastrim). Reported as alastrim.
Japan:				
Kobe	May 24-30	1		
Nagasaki	May 15-21	2		
Yokohama	May 25-31	1		
Java:				
Batavia	May 2-8	1		Province.
Rembang Residency	Apr. 23			Epidemic at Kawedanan.
Soerabaya	Apr. 16-May 13	121	16	
Tegal	Mar. 29-Apr. 4	2		
Malta:				
	June 1-15	2		
Mexico:				
Durango	June 1-30		11	
Guadalajara	June 2-July 6		11	
Mexico City	May 24-June 27	12		Including municipalities in Federal District.
Tampico	June 1-10		1	
Morocco:				
Tangier	May 17-June 5			Present among natives.
Nigeria:				
				December, 1924: Cases, 40; deaths, 16.
Do.				January-February, 1925: Cases, 421; deaths, 11.

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, AND TYPHUS FEVER—Continued**  
**Reports Received from June 27 to July 24, 1925—Continued**  
**SMALLPOX—Continued**

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
Perzia:				
Teheran.....	Mar. 21-Apr. 21..		11	
Poland.....				Mar. 1-Apr. 4, 1925: Cases, 19.
Portugal:				
Lisbon.....	Apr. 26-June 13..	35	6	
Russia.....				December, 1924: Cases, 880. January, 1925: Cases, 383.
Siam:				
Bangkok.....	Apr. 26-May 23..	14	9	
Spain:				
Malaga.....	May 24-June 20..		15	
Valencia.....	May 31-June 6..	1		
Straits Settlements:				
Singapore.....	May 17-23.....	1		
Syria:				
Beirut.....	Apr. 21-30.....	1		
Tripoli.....				Jan. 3-Feb. 20, 1925: Cases, 6.
Tunis:				
Tunis.....	May 6-June 10..		23	
Turkey:				
Constantinople.....	May 16-22.....	2		
Union of South Africa:				
Cape Province.....	May 24-30.....			Outbreaks.
Transvaal.....	May 3-9.....			Do.
Uruguay.....				December, 1924: Cases, 8.

**TYPHUS FEVER**

Algeria:				
Algiers.....	May 11-20.....	6	2	In vicinity, 12 cases. Isolated.
Bulgaria:				November-December, 1924: 1
Sofia.....	May 28-June 3..	2		case. January-March, 1925; Cases, 36; deaths, 2.
Chile:				
Valparaiso.....	May 10-16.....		1	
China:				
Manchuria—				
Harbin.....	May 19-June 2..	2		
Egypt:				
Alexandria.....	May 7-June 3..	3	1	
Cairo.....	Mar. 26-Apr. 22..	5	4	
Port Said.....	May 14-20.....	1	1	
Greece.....				January-February, 1925: Cases,
Athens.....	May 1-31.....		2	40; deaths, 4.
Latvia.....				April, 1925: Cases, 12.
Mexico:				
Mexico City.....	May 24-June 6..	24		Including municipalities in Fed- eral district.
Morocco.....				January, 1925: Cases, 63.
Palestine:				
Jaffa District.....	June 2-8.....	2		
Majdal.....	May 26-June 8..	3		
Ramleh.....	May 19-25.....	1		
Safad.....	June 9-15.....	1		
Peru:				
Arequipa.....	Apr. 1-30.....		2	
Poland.....				Mar. 1-Apr. 11, 1925: Cases, 1,195; deaths, 74.
Portugal:				
Oporto.....	May 31-June 6..	1		
Rumania:				
Constanza.....	May 1-31.....	1		
Russia.....				December, 1924: Cases, 4,227 January, 1925: Cases, 3,828.
Spain:				
Valencia.....	June 7-13.....		1	
Tunis:				
Tunis.....	May 21-June 17..	16	8	
Turkey:				
Constantinople.....	May 11-31.....	7	2	
Union of South Africa:				
Cape Province.....	Apr. 19-May 30..			Outbreaks.
Natal.....	May 3-9.....			Do.
Durban.....	Feb. 1-May 9.....	14		European.
Orange Free State.....	Feb. 1-May 30..			Outbreaks.
Transvaal—				
Johannesburg.....	May 17-23.....	1		
Yugoslavia:				
Zagreb.....	May 8-21.....	7	1	